

“Measuring What Matters”

Kent County Correctional Facility 2012 Annual Statistical Report



**Published on
February 1, 2013**



KENT COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT
Lawrence A. Stelma – Sheriff

701 Ball Avenue, N.E. • Grand Rapids • Michigan • 49503



February 1, 2013

We began reporting jail data on a yearly basis in 1998, and each new report builds on the foundation of previous reports. I'd like to point out a few items of interest buried in the statistics contained in this year's report.

- In February we had the crane collapse incident which prompted a partial evacuation of some inmates to four other counties. For 19 days an average of 152 inmates were housed in other agencies. This obviously would throw off the averages for the Main Jail and the jail system average, depending on whether I included those "crane" inmates or not. I decided against including them, based on the history described in the note on page 17 which explains that I've never included the inmates boarded outside the jail in the jail counts. In case you are interested what the averages would have been if I had included those inmates, the average for the Main Jail would have been 1,040 instead of the 1,032 reported in this book, and the average for the jail system (all facilities) would have been 1,164 instead of 1,157.
- On page 26 I made note of the fact that the number of parole violators that the Michigan Department of Corrections brought into jail increased last year by 150%. That number is driven almost solely by internal MDOC policies relating to how they decide to handle parole violations. To illustrate the changes over the last few years, I've included the table below:

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
MDOC bookings:	318	409	321	115	131	125	311	775

- The average daily population of 1,157 increased 5.8% in 2012 over 2010's and 2011's average of 1,093. That is despite the number of inmates booked into jail decreasing by 0.7% in 2012. That can mean only one thing, which is the average length of stay for inmates in our jail increased. The data confirm that, finding, among other types, the average length of stay for inmates released "time served" increased from 34.6 days in 2010 to 39.9 days in 2012. The average for all inmates who were not released within 72 hours increased from 41.5 in 2010 to 43.9. For the most part, the length of time an inmate stays in jail is not controlled by the Sheriff, and is controlled the judiciary's practice of setting bonds and sentences.
- This was a violent year for inmates in the Kent County Jail. The chart on page 51 depicts that the only measure of inmate behavior that increased this year was the index for violence. Inmate fights, assaults, assaults on staff and threats against staff were up in 2012. Crime watchers will note that there was a similar increase in violent crime in our community in 2012, so the inmates in jail mirror that behavior.

The goal of this report is to provide accurate, interesting and representative information to Sheriff's office staff, criminal justice planners, and community members who are interested in the operation of the county jail. I trust you will find this report to be a helpful source of data in the months ahead.

The report is organized to make the information accessible to the readers. You will notice that it has three general types of statistics. Inmate profile statistics depict an overall profile of the inmate population and correctional facility staff. Inmate population statistics address the topics of how many inmates are coming in, where are they coming from, why they are here, how long are they staying, and some of the programs and services provided to them. Incident statistics allow us to evaluate the behavior of the inmate population while they are in jail.

The aim is to make these reports as functional as possible by including only the information that seems to be of general interest. I realize that this document will not answer every question. If you have further questions, please contact me and I will try to provide any additional information you need about the inmate population or facility operation. I value your comments and suggestions for future reports.


Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Randy Demory". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned centrally below the word "Sincerely,".



Captain Randy Demory

Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 1)



Age at booking	
teens	9.55%
20's	40.6%
30's	23.5%
40's	16.3%
50's	8.6%
60's	1.3%
70's +	0.1%





Marital status	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
single	70.5%	70.7%	72.5%	72.9%	73.3%	72.5%	73.7%	74.2%
married	17.6%	17.2%	16.3%	16.0%	15.5%	16.6%	15.1%	15.0%
divorce	11.9%	12.1%	11.2%	11.0%	11.2%	10.9%	11.2%	10.8%




Race	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
white	49.9%	47.7%	46.6%	45.8%	46.0%	47.2%	45.3%	46.2%
black	39.6%	40.5%	41.1%	41.3%	41.6%	40.8%	43.1%	42.7%
hispanic	10.1%	11.0%	11.3%	12.0%	11.3%	11.0%	10.8%	10.2%




# of children	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
none	30.6%	32.7%	33.9%	33.1%	31.6%	32.2%	32.1%	32.5%
one	19.0%	21.0%	20.5%	20.4%	21.1%	20.5%	20.6%	20.2%
two	17.6%	18.8%	19.1%	19.2%	19.6%	19.6%	19.4%	18.9%
three +	32.8%	27.5%	26.5%	27.2%	27.7%	27.7%	27.9%	28.4%




 **TrendSpotter!** We have provided this kind of inmate profile information for several years and the tables point out some interesting differences and similarities between this year and prior years.

Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 2)


Shift arrested on	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
first	31.2%	34.7%	28.7%	32.7%	27.1%	30.0%	30.3%	29.2%
second	39.6%	37.2%	38.7%	38.5%	38.8%	39.1%	38.1%	39.8%
third	29.2%	28.1%	32.6%	28.7%	34.1%	31.0%	31.6%	31.0%




# of charges	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
one	64.5%	64.2%	63.1%	62.0%	60.0%	58.4%	58.7%	59.0%
two	21.1%	21.4%	22.2%	22.3%	22.4%	22.7%	22.5%	22.3%
three +	14.4%	14.4%	14.7%	15.7%	17.6%	18.9%	18.8%	18.7%



Occupation	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
yes	50.2%	52.0%	46.8%	40.4%	38.9%	40.60%	42.6%	44.4%
no	49.8%	48.0%	53.2%	59.6%	61.1%	59.4%	57.4%	55.6%



Recidivism	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1st time	24.8%	22.8%	23.1%	23.1%	22.4%	19.0%	22.2%	21.8%
returning	75.2%	77.2%	76.9%	76.9%	77.6%	81.0%	77.8%	78.2%



Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 3)


Gender	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
male	78.9%	79.0%	78.9%	78.6%	77.1%	77.3%	77.1%	76.7%
female	21.1%	21.0%	21.1%	21.4%	22.9%	22.7%	22.9%	23.3%

Average Stay	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
male	10.8	18.5	11.3	12.9	12.5	11.8	12	12.9
female	7.2	10.0	6.5	7.9	7.1	7.2	6.5	7.1
together	10.1	16.7	10.3	11.8	11.2	10.8	10.5	11.6



Age	2009	2010	2011	2012	Age	2009	2010	2011	2012
15	6	5	0	1	15				
16	15	30	8	15	16				
17	870	725	763	623	17	▬	▬	▬	▬
18	1209	975	883	793	18	▬▬	▬▬	▬▬	▬▬
19	1326	1101	1052	938	19	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
20	1270	1200	1180	1020	20	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
21	1209	1137	1205	1157	21	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
22	1230	1106	1115	1190	22	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
23	1153	1064	1095	1121	23	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
24	1174	1029	1053	1039	24	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
25	1063	1019	970	1014	25	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
26	1009	912	854	982	26	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
27	929	873	835	921	27	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
28	970	814	896	815	28	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
29	935	846	813	817	29	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
30	772	748	820	732	30	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
31	749	640	707	706	31	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
32	703	639	670	745	32	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
33	604	611	664	620	33	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
34	577	496	581	619	34	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
35	564	502	412	570	35	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
36	566	502	519	430	36	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
37	575	480	524	468	37	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
38	577	519	501	468	38	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
39	527	494	446	467	39	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
40	537	444	457	437	40	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
41	539	465	428	456	41	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
42	496	407	423	440	42	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
43	502	423	387	389	43	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
44	486	394	454	411	44	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
45	546	429	383	370	45	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
46	465	414	388	344	46	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
47	439	384	406	408	47	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
48	405	345	384	392	48	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
49	383	358	317	390	49	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
50	357	321	343	286	50	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
51	275	262	296	307	51	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
52	259	211	283	270	52	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
53	200	226	236	289	53	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
54	197	164	188	255	54	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
55	169	191	183	188	55	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
56	138	122	168	164	56	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
57	111	121	129	128	57	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
58	90	119	131	113	58	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
59	83	78	86	133	59	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬
60	58	65	73	55	60	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬	▬▬▬

Profile of Male Inmates at Time of Booking (part 1)



Age at booking	
teens	9.5%
20's	40.0%
30's	23.0%
40's	16.5%
50's	9.3%
60's	1.5%
70's +	0.1%





Marital status	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
single	71.1%	71.5%	73.2%	73.2%	73.8%	73.0%	74.2%	74.5%
married	17.9%	17.3%	16.3%	16.4%	15.6%	16.6%	15.0%	15.1%
divorce	11.0%	11.2%	10.6%	10.4%	10.6%	10.3%	10.8%	10.4%




Race	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
white	48.5%	46.2%	44.7%	44.0%	44.1%	45.2%	43.3%	43.9%
black	39.7%	40.9%	41.8%	42.0%	42.5%	42.0%	44.2%	44.0%
hispanic	11.4%	12.1%	12.5%	13.2%	12.3%	11.9%	11.7%	11.2%




# of children	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
none	36.6%	35.7%	36.4%	36.0%	34.1%	34.8%	34.8%	35.1%
one	21.1%	21.7%	21.0%	20.8%	21.7%	21.3%	21.1%	20.5%
two	18.6%	17.8%	18.4%	18.3%	18.9%	18.3%	18.5%	18.1%
three +	23.7%	24.8%	24.2%	24.9%	25.4%	25.6%	25.7%	26.4%




 **TrendSpotter!** This page contains information about male offenders from this year and previous years. The tables point out some interesting similarities between this year and prior years.

Profile of Male Inmates at Time of Booking (part 2)


Shift arrested on	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
first	31.7%	34.9%	28.6%	32.6%	26.9%	29.70%	30.2%	29.6%
second	39.8%	36.8%	38.4%	38.5%	38.8%	39.18%	38.0%	39.7%
third	28.5%	28.3%	33.0%	28.9%	34.4%	31.13%	31.8%	30.7%




# of charges	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
one	62.7%	62.3%	61.0%	59.6%	57.5%	61.75%	56.0%	56.4%
two	21.7%	22.1%	23.2%	23.1%	23.2%	26.16%	23.0%	23.0%
three +	15.6%	15.6%	15.8%	17.2%	19.3%	12.09%	10.7%	20.7%



Occupation	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
yes	52.8%	52.0%	48.6%	44.7%	40.0%	41.50%	42.9%	44.6%
no	47.2%	48.0%	51.4%	55.3%	60.0%	58.50%	57.1%	55.4%




Recidivism	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1st time	23.1%	22.8%	21.6%	21.7%	20.9%	13.7%	28.8%	20.0%
returning	76.9%	77.2%	78.4%	78.3%	79.1%	86.3%	71.2%	80.0%





Profile of Female Inmates at Time of Booking (part 1)



Age at booking	
teens	9.8%
20's	42.8%
30's	25.0%
40's	15.4%
50's	6.2%
60's	0.7%
70's	0.1%





Marital status	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
single	67.4%	67.7%	70.1%	71.7%	71.8%	70.6%	71.9%	73.2%
married	17.5%	17.5%	16.4%	14.9%	15.3%	16.4%	15.4%	14.7%
divorce	15.1%	15.5%	13.5%	13.4%	13.0%	13.0%	12.8%	12.1%




Race	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
white	55.1%	53.1%	53.8%	52.0%	52.4%	53.9%	52.1%	53.0%
black	39.4%	39.0%	38.0%	38.7%	38.4%	37.1%	39.4%	38.1%
hispanic	5.0%	6.7%	7.0%	8.0%	7.9%	7.9%	7.7%	7.0%




# of children	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
none	22.0%	21.8%	24.8%	23.0%	23.7%	23.5%	23.4%	24.6%
one	19.2%	18.3%	18.4%	19.0%	19.3%	17.9%	19.2%	19.3%
two	22.4%	22.7%	21.9%	22.5%	21.9%	23.8%	22.3%	21.3%
three +	36.4%	37.2%	34.9%	35.5%	35.1%	34.8%	35.1%	34.8%




 **TrendSpotter!** This page contains information about female offenders from this year and previous years. The tables point out some interesting similarities between this year and prior years.

Profile of Female Inmates at Time of Booking (part 2)


Shift arrested on	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
first	30.3%	34.0%	29.3%	28.3%	27.8%	31.0%	30.5%	27.7%
second	42.5%	38.8%	39.3%	38.6%	39.0%	38.6%	38.5%	39.7%
third	27.2%	27.2%	31.4%	33.1%	33.3%	30.4%	31.0%	31.7%




# of charges	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
one	71.4%	71.4%	71.1%	70.5%	68.9%	67.7%	67.8%	67.8%
two	18.5%	19.0%	18.8%	19.2%	19.6%	19.7%	20.7%	20.1%
three +	10.1%	9.6%	10.1%	10.3%	11.6%	12.6%	11.5%	13.1%



Occupation	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
yes	40.5%	40.0%	39.8%	35.2%	35.1%	36.4%	40.2%	44.3%
no	59.5%	60.0%	60.2%	64.8%	64.9%	63.6%	59.8%	55.7%




Recidivism	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1st time	31.6%	28.5%	28.6%	27.7%	27.6%	23.6%	26.4%	27.4%
returning	68.4%	71.5%	71.4%	72.3%	72.4%	76.4%	73.6%	72.6%





Profile of the "Stock Population" Inmates (part 1)



Age at booking	
teens	11.1%
20's	35.9%
30's	22.5%
40's	19.2%
50's	10.1%
60's	1.3%
70's	0.0%



Marital status	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
single	73.3%	74.6%	74.4%	74.9%	74.6%	76.0%	74.0%	74.3%
married	15.3%	14.8%	14.8%	14.3%	13.2%	13.8%	15.1%	14.6%
divorce	11.4%	10.6%	10.8%	10.8%	12.3%	10.2%	10.9%	11.1%



Race	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
white	41.1%	38.3%	39.1%	34.9%	41.4%	37.8%	37.2%	37.4%
black	48.8%	51.7%	50.3%	51.7%	47.7%	50.3%	51.7%	52.6%
hispanic	9.9%	9.3%	10.0%	12.7%	9.9%	9.9%	11.2%	9.2%




TalkingPoint!


For the purposes of analysis, there are actually two "populations" of people who come to the county jail. This page and the next two pages contain information about the "stock" population in jail, while the previous three pages contained information about the inmates being booked into jail. The stock population are the inmates who actually stay in jail after their arraignments and/or initial opportunity to post bail, so they tend to be the more serious criminals. There are many interesting comparisons between the stock population and the total group of offenders coming to jail, as you can see if you compare this page with page "Inmate Profile at Booking." For example, the stock population inmates are much more likely to be repeat offenders, with more of them having been in jail before compared with the other population. Not surprisingly, they have more charges against them than the other population. Interestingly enough, slightly more of them report being single rather than married, and more of them admit to having no occupation. More of these inmates are arrested on first or second shifts, and fewer on third shift. More of them are male. The racial profile of the stock population is also somewhat different than that of the other population.

Profile of the "Stock Population" Inmates (part 2)


Shift arrested on	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
first	38.2%	38.7%	28.7%	33.7%	30.1%	29.1%	30.0%	30.0%
second	43.5%	39.6%	46.1%	45.6%	47.2%	48.2%	47.6%	49.1%
third	18.3%	21.7%	25.2%	20.8%	22.8%	22.2%	22.4%	20.9%




# of charges	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
one	34.8%	38.3%	36.3%	30.2%	22.4%	24.7%	25.5%	23.0%
two	25.6%	28.6%	26.4%	28.1%	23.4%	25.0%	25.1%	24.3%
three +	39.6%	33.1%	37.3%	41.8%	54.2%	50.0%	49.5%	52.7%



Occupation	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
yes	32.8%	33.2%	34.6%	29.6%	22.8%	29.5%	2.7%	2.2%
no	67.2%	66.8%	65.4%	70.4%	77.2%	70.5%	97.3%	97.8%




Gender	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
male	88.1%	86.4%	88.7%	88.9%	87.1%	88.2%	87.0%	86.8%
female	11.9%	13.6%	11.3%	11.1%	12.9%	11.8%	13.0%	13.2%




Profile of the "Stock Population" Inmates (part 3)

# of children	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
none	32.0%	32.9%	30.8%	34.4%	30.0%	30.0%	31.3%	32.4%
one	20.7%	21.2%	20.3%	20.1%	22.4%	21.0%	20.5%	23.2%
two	19.6%	17.7%	21.7%	17.5%	21.5%	20.7%	20.2%	18.0%
three +	27.7%	28.2%	27.2%	28.1%	26.1%	28.5%	28.1%	26.4%



Recidivism	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1st time	14.1%	15.4%	13.7%	16.0%	14.2%	14.5%	14.2%	12.5%
returning	85.9%	84.6%	86.3%	84.0%	85.8%	85.5%	85.8%	87.5%




TrendSpotter! This chart depicts the proportion of inmates in the stock population who are in jail for their first time, and the proportion who are repeat offenders. The trend seems to be that the proportion of first time offenders is decreasing and the proportion of repeat offenders is increasing. (trend lines added) The only exception to this trend is female offenders.

Interesting Random Facts About KCCF Inmates

Offender characteristics	male	female	together
? Average age	33	31	32
? Average number of kids	1.74	2	2
? Average grade completed	12	12	12
? Admit to belonging to a gang	6.9%	1.1%	5.6%
? Percentage who are born in the USA	92.6%	95.9%	93.4%
? Besides USA, 2nd most common country of birth	Mexico (606)		
? Besides USA, 3rd next most common country of birth	Guatamala (261)		
? Most frequently claimed occupation	unemployed (10,290)		
? 2nd most frequently claimed occupation	general (3,656)		
? 3rd most frequently claimed occupation	none (3,469)		
? 4th most frequently claimed occupation	other (1,750)		
? 5th most frequently claimed occupation	factory (1,608)		
? 6th most frequently claimed occupation	food service (1,177)		
? 7th most frequently claimed occupation	construction (668)		
? Least claimed occupation	laid off (23)		
? Most frequently claimed religion	none (12,568)		
? 2nd most frequently claimed religion	protestant (9,068)		
? 3rd most frequently claimed religion	catholic (2,780)		
? 4th most frequently claimed religion	muslim (304)		
? Most frequently claimed military service	none		
? 2nd most frequently claimed service	army		
? 3rd most frequently claimed service	navy		
? 4th most frequently claimed service	marines		
? Besides MI, 2nd most common state of birth	Illinois (955)		
? Besides MI, 3rd most common state of birth	Mississippi (420)		
? Besides MI, 4th most common state of birth	California (390)		
Number of repeat offenders each year	1 or more times this year	10 or more times this year	Most times in jail this year for 1 person
? Number of individual offenders who were admitted to jail in 2005 (out of 31,164 total admissions)	20,104	26	14
? Number of individual offenders who were admitted to jail in 2006 (out of 31,354 total admissions)	24,419	34	18
? Number of individual offenders who were admitted to jail in 2007 (out of 29,034 total admissions)	21,536	21	17
? Number of individual offenders who were admitted to jail in 2008 (out of 29,844 total admissions)	18,922	27	15
? Number of individual offenders who were admitted to jail in 2009 (out of 29,303 total admissions)	19,248	12	19
? Number of individual offenders who were admitted to jail in 2010 (out of 26,180 total admissions)	17,511	12	19
? Number of individual offenders who were admitted to jail in 2011 (out of 25,013 total admissions)	17,435	18	22
? Number of individual offenders who were admitted to jail in 2012 (out of 24,804 total admissions)	17,324	12	28

Correctional Facility Employee Profile




Category	Total	% of total
Number of persons employed in the jail system as of January 1, 2013	278.00	
Number of male employees	199.00	71.58%
Number of female employees	79.00	28.42%
Average age of employees	42.77	
Average age of male employees	42.63	
Average age of female employees	43.13	
Average years of seniority	13.16	
Average years of seniority of male employees	13.22	
Average years of seniority of female employees	13.00	
Racial breakdown - White	220.00	79.14%
Racial breakdown - Black/African American	28.00	10.07%
Racial breakdown - Asian or Pacific Islander	4.00	1.44%
Racial breakdown - Native American	2.00	0.72%
Racial breakdown - Hispanic	20.00	7.19%
Racial breakdown - 2 or more races	4.00	1.44%
Type of Employee - Supervisory	32.00	11.51%
Type of Employee - Deputies	191.00	68.71%
Type of Employee - Administrative Support Personnel	22.00	7.91%
Type of Employee - Other Personnel	33.00	11.87%
Number of deputies who resigned in 2012	5.00	
Number of deputies who retired in 2012	5.00	
Number of deputies who were terminated in 2012	2.00	
Turnover rate of deputies in 2012		6.28%
Profile of Correctional Officers hired this year		
Number of deputies hired in 2012	13	
Number of deputies hired in 2012 with 2 year degree	4	
Number of deputies hired in 2012 with 4 year degree	9	
Gender of newly hired deputies - male	11	
Gender of newly hired deputies - female	2	
Race of newly hired deputies - White	10	
Race of newly hired deputies - Black	1	
Race of newly hired deputies - Asian or Pacific Islander	0	
Race of newly hired deputies - Native American	0	
Race of newly hired deputies - Hispanic	2	
Correctional Officers have been hired between July 1998 and this year		
Number hired in this time period (last thirteen years)	148	
Number with a 4 year degree	93	62.8%
Number with a 2 year degree	41	27.7%
Number with Military experience	4	2.7%
Number with past Correctional experience	4	2.7%
Number who were employed in other KCCF positions when they applied	5	3.4%
Other	1	0.7%

 **Talking Point!** The information contained in the table above was correct as of January 1, 2013. It should be noted that our deputy turnover rate is low when compared with other jails our size around the country. Turnover rates in jails of 10% to 15% are not uncommon, and rates of 25% and higher are sometimes reported.




Booking and Population Statistics


Monthly Averages Vary Widely

	bookings per day	bookings per month	total bookings for 2012	average daily population for the MJ, HC & CRC
Average	72	2,110		1,157
Minimum	26	1,881		847
Maximum	108	2,331		1,309
Range	82	450		462
			26,211	






The Average Daily Population of the Main Jail, Honor Camp, and the Community Reentry Center



 **TrendSpotter!** The average population for the Main Jail in the year before (2011) was 963. The average population for the Camp was 0 and the average population for the Community Reentry Center was 130 in 2011.

The Number of Inmates Brought to Jail per Year


Slight decrease in Admissions (189 fewer)




TrendSpotter! This chart shows the number of bookings each year (blue line) with the % of increase or decrease from the previous year (green bar). We experienced a slight decrease in 2012.

Number of Bookings and MJ Average / Peak Populations

year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
NBI	29,202	30,238	31,088	33,072	31,373	31,164	31,354	29,635	28,844	29,135	26,180	26,400	26,211




year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
ADP	950	967	1,004	1,064	1,073	1,064	1,052	1,034	1,020	1,073	912	963	1,032
Peak	1,027	1,035	1,102	1,137	1,139	1,161	1,145	1,149	1,162	1,164	1,004	1,079	1,173
+ or -	8.1%	7.0%	9.8%	6.9%	6.2%	9.1%	8.8%	11.1%	13.9%	8.5%	10.1%	12.0%	13.7%




TalkingPoint! The bottom chart shows the difference in the Main Jail between the **AVERAGE** population and the **PEAK** population. In 2012 we had more inmates than average **51.2%** of the time, and we have to be able to house them as well. The difference between the normal or "average" population and the peaking population is called the "peaking factor." The peaking factor for 2012 was 13.7%, up for the 3rd year running.

Annual Average Daily Population for all Inmates in KCCF Custody



The figures on this graph represent the daily average of the total number of inmates in our custody, including the Main Jail, Community Reentry Center, and Honor Camp. It DOES NOT INCLUDE inmates lodged in other agencies. The figures prior to 1994 do not include the inmates boarded out in other counties prior to the new jail opening up. The 1997 figure is an estimate because some of the data is missing. Trend line added. One can observe a leveling off of the past growth rate.

Average Daily Population of the Honor Camp, Community Reentry Center, Main Jail and the Total for all Inmates in KCCF Custody for the Last 9 Years



History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1835 to 1984)

Year	Description of the Event or Solution	Capacity Levels			
		MJ	HC	WR	System
1835	First Kent County Jail consisted of two cells in the corner of the Courthouse				
1845	First jail burns and for the next 10 years inmates are housed in rented quarters, primarily in a cellar of a building on Canal Street, with more important inmates being sent to jails in adjoining counties.				
1855	A new Sheriff's residence was built with a wooden structure called "Kent County Jail House" in the rear. It consisted of heavy planking with sheet iron sheathing on the inside.				
1872	Rising crime and frequency of escapes from the current jail cause the county to construct a new jail in the "Bastille" style. When it opened in March, it was called the "Prisoner's Paradise."	114			
1913	Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Commissioners called the jail a "cheese box" that had "first prize for being the most hazardous building in Michigan today."				
1950	Peak Average Daily Population reaches 162 inmates.				
1954	After several failed attempts over 4 decades, voters finally approve a ballot measure for a new jail.				
1958	Original Ball Avenue Jail constructed with a capacity of 240 beds.	240			240
1968	Honor Camp opens with a capacity of 48, bringing us to a total capacity of 288.	240	48		288
1974	Jail expansion by 116 beds, bringing us to a capacity in the Main Jail of 356. Total capacity for all jail facilities was 404.	356	48		404
1977	Jail expansion by 95 beds, bringing us to a capacity in the Main Jail of 451. Total capacity for all jail facilities was 499.	451	48		499
1977	61st District Court creates Community Service Program for sentenced misdemeanor cases.				
1979	Lawsuit filed by six inmates in U.S. District Court.				
1980	Formation of "Alternatives to Incarceration" task force.				
1980	We begin the practice of boarding out inmates to other counties due to overcrowding. This continued for 15 years.				
1981	Creation of Court Services Department to administer Pre-trial Release and Community Service programs. Post arraignment Pre-trial Screening begins at the jail.				
1982	Work Release facility created at the Salvation Army building with a capacity of 48 beds.	451	48	48	547
1982	Court Services Community Service program begins placing felony and misdemeanor cases to work in the community from all district courts and Circuit Court.				
1982	Federal Court order by Judge Enslin in the matter of Johnson v. Heffron limiting occupancy at the Main Jail to 95 percent of capacity.				
1983	Jail expansion by 122 beds, bringing the Main Jail to 573. Total capacity for all jail facilities was 669.	573	48	48	669
1984	Expansion of Pre-trial Release and Community Service programs. Pre-trial program now includes full-time supervision services.				
1984	Expansion of Work Release facility by 24 beds for a capacity of 72. Total capacity for all jail facilities is 693.	573	48	72	693
1984	Jail Management System approved for automating records at the Kent County Jail.				

History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1985 to 1998)



Year	Description of the Event or Solution	Capacity Levels			
		MJ	HC	WR	System
1985	Agreement to house up to 25 females at Project Rehab Community Treatment Center.				
1985	Expansion of Work Release facility by 18 beds to a total capacity of 90. Total capacity for all jail facilities is 711.	573	48	90	711
1987	Jail Population Management Board formed to study causes of jail crowding.				
1987	Electronic Monitoring and Work Crew programs started with Federal Grant				
1988	Additional judge added for 17th Circuit Court				
1989	Formation of the Community Corrections Advisory Board pursuant to P.A. 511 of 1988.				
1989	Approval of Comprehensive Criminal Justice System Study by David M. Bennett.				
1989	Temporary minimum security jail building adding 64 beds to the Main Jail, bringing its capacity to 637. Total capacity for all jail facilities is 775.	637	48	90	775
1989	Expansion at the Work Release adds 14 beds for a total of 104 beds. Total capacity for all jail facilities is 789.	637	48	104	789
1990	This was the high year for the practice of boarding inmates in other counties. Daily average this year was 146.				
1990	Tax increase of 0.84 mills approved by voters on August 7th to construct a new jail addition.				
1990	Contract approved for jail architectural services.				
1990	Creation of Criminal Justice Coordinator position.				
1991	Pre-trial and Community Service program expanded to provide staff for additional numbers of supervised release cases and community service placements.				
1992	New jail addition opened in December but parts of the old jail are closed for renovation. We become the central intake facility for the Grand Rapids Police Department.				
1992	Pre-trial services expanded to include pre-arrest screening and investigation and Fast Track processing 24 hours a day, 7 days a week coverage at the jail.				
1993	New jail addition and renovation of the old jail completed, adding 363 additional beds (after closing the temporary facility), bringing us to a capacity in the Main Jail of 1,000, and a total capacity of 1,152.	1,000	48	104	1,152
1993	Jail Bed Allocation Agreement begun, committee implemented, and weekly jail bed reports sent to all criminal court judges.				
1993	Temporary minimum security building relocated to Honor Camp. Capacity at Honor Camp remains at 48.				
1994	The practice of boarding inmates in other counties because of overcrowding finally ends this year after 15 years.				
1996	Order from the Federal Sixth Circuit Court granting relief from Judge Enslin's count reduction measures.				
1997	Pre-trial Electronic Monitoring program re-started after being discontinued in 1989.				
1997	Federal grant program enables Kent County communities to add large numbers of new police.				
1998	Research conducted into the issues surrounding adding double bunks to the Main Jail. 24 bunks added this year, beginning the capacity of the Main Jail to 1,024.	1,024	48	104	1,176

History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1998 to present)

Year	Description of the Event or Solution	Capacity Levels			
		MJ	HC	WR	System
1998	Jail Population Monitoring Clerk begins monitoring split-sentence cases to facilitate early release into community facilities.				
1999	29 additional double bunks added for a total of 53, with a capacity of 1,053 in the Main Jail, and a total capacity of 1,205.	1,053	48	104	1,205
1999	Relocation of the Work Release facility from the Salvation Army to the old Kent Oaks facility, increasing the capacity to 136. Total system capacity is now 1,237.	1,053	48	136	1,237
2000	9 additional double bunks added for a total of 62, bringing us to a capacity of 1,062 in the Main Jail, and a total capacity of 1,246.	1,062	48	136	1,246
2002	32 additional double bunks added for a total of 94, with a capacity of 1,094 in the Main Jail, and a total capacity of 1,278.	1,094	48	136	1,278
2003	Two additional judges added for 17th Circuit Court.				
2003	Six additional bunks added to the Honor Camp, increasing its capacity to 54.	1,094	54	136	1,284
2004	Ten additional bunks added to Work Release, increasing its capacity to 146.	1,094	54	146	1,294
2004	Corrections and Detention Millage Committee formed				
2005	Two more bunks to the Honor Camp, capacity up to 56	1,094	56	146	1,296
2005	Corrections and Detention Millage Committee submits final report to County Administration in December.				
2005	Expansion project begins at Work Release and extra programs added. Building renamed the Community Reentry Center.				
2006	Expansion at the Community Reentry Center completed.	1,094	56	248	1,398
2006	Four more bunks added to Honor Camp, capacity up to 60	1,094	60	248	1,402
2006	90 additional double bunks added bringing us to a capacity of 1,184 in the Main Jail, and a total capacity of 1,492.	1,184	60	248	1,492
2006	Renovation started on the new Mental Health Unit. 53 bunks taken out of service.	1,131	60	248	1,439
2007	Criminal Justice Planners Harrison / Landmark present a report to County Administration in December that evaluates the jail's facilities and recommends demolition and expansion.				
2007	Mental Health Unit opened with 39 additional bunks, leaving us with a Main Jail capacity of 1,170 and a total capacity of 1,478.	1,170	60	248	1,478
2007	Kent, Kalamazoo and Allegan Counties hire a consulting firm to determine the feasibility of creating a regional jail.				
2008	Voters approve an extension of Jail Millage.				
2009	County selects Tower Pinkster as the design firm for the expansion and demolition project, and Owens, Ames and Kimble were selected as the construction firm. A jail transition team is formed and design work begins in mid-summer.				
2010	Renovation started on Upper Rear and D1B. Additional double bunks are added to B2 and B3. The Main Jail has a new temporary capacity.	1,101	48	248	1,397
2010	Using Honor Camp for inmate housing suspended in November.	1,101	0	248	1,349
2010	One wing of the CRC was closed the last week of December, leaving two wings in operation.	1,101	0	186	1,287
2011	The newly renovated Upper Rear reopens in January as H2 with a new capacity of 192.	1,293	0	186	1,479
2011	The newly renovated D1B reopens in February with a new capacity of 46	1,331	0	186	1,517
2011	Lower One Man, Middle One Man, and Upper Annex are demolished in March.	1,221	0	186	1,407
2012	The new jail housing units open in December (L1, L2, L3, M1, M2, and M3). The old side (Lower, Middle and Upper) is closed.	1,285	0	186	1,471

Total Number of Times an Inmate has been in KCCF

Core Group of 2,800 Offenders Return to Jail 15 Times or More




These graphs were produced by looking at the 18,952 offenders arrested in 2012 and counting how many times they had been in the Kent County Correctional Facility since 1985. The point of producing these graphs side-by-side is to show the difference in the number of times in jail between the repeat offenders (the recidivists are in the top chart) and the whole population that includes the lightweights (bottom chart).

TalkingPoint! Of all the people brought to jail, 51% are there for their first, second or third visit. Among the offenders who came to jail in 2012, there was one inmate who had been in KCCF 124 times. Remember, these numbers are just counting the times these offenders were in the Kent County Jail and doesn't include visits to jails in other counties.


Recidivism Rate of KCCF Inmates

The previous page examines recidivism by looking backwards to see how many inmates have been in jail before. Another approach to looking at recidivism is examined on this page. This is a forward looking approach that looks at a group of admissions at a point in the past and then follows them in subsequent years to see how many of them come back a second time, third time, etc. We looked at an overall recidivism rate from 2010 through 2012 as well as recidivism when selecting out offenders who received a proxy score in 2011. We provided the jail data from those years to Andy Verheek at the Office of Community Corrections and he analyzed it for recidivism rates depicted on this page.

 **TalkingPoint!** There were a total of 40,635 unique individuals booked into KCCF at least one time over the three year period from 2010 through 2012. Using a broad definition of recidivism entailing return to jail for any reason, 16,202 out of the 40,635 individuals were rebooked (for any reason) into KCCF at least once from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012. This represents an overall recidivism rate of 39.9%. Of these 16,202 individuals booked two or more times into KCCF, females were admitted an average of 3.1 times and males an average of 3.3 times.

"Class of 2010 to 2012" - 69,779 individuals booked & rearrested anytime in 2010 to 2012


	Total Individuals booked at least once anytime in 2010 through 2012	Number with at least one subsequent rebooking	Resulting Recidivism Rate
All	40,635	16,202	39.9%
Male	29,929	12,700	42.4%
Female	10,706	3,502	32.7%
Black	14,314	7,287	50.9%
Hispanic	4,978	1,633	32.8%
White	20,953	7,143	34.1%

 **TalkingPoint!** The table above includes people whose first arrest in the time period came in 2010 but also those whose first arrest was in 2011 and 2012 and includes a very broad definition of recidivism.. All offenders coming through the Kent County Correctional Facility are not predicted to recidivate at the same rate. Using a proxy risk assessment instrument that looks at an offenders age at first offense, prior bookings into jail, and current age, Kent County Correctional Facility personnel can gain an idea of who is more likely to come back through the system in the future. This information is instrumental to providing programming within the Kent County Correctional Facility. In the table below we looked at the recidivism rates for those individuals that were booked into KCCF from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011 that received a proxy score and were most likely to be released back into the community meaning that those offenders released to prison or another facility were filtered out. There were 7,113 individuals booked into KCCF in 2011 that had received a proxy score during their stays at Kent County Correctional Facility and had a release reason other than release to prison or release to another facility. Out of these 7,113 individuals, 4,780 were rebooked into KCCF at least once from their initial booking in 2011 until December 31, 2012 for an overall recidivism rate of 67.2%. The table below illustrates that the recidivism rates are indeed different for those offenders scored at a low, medium, or high risk of recidivism based upon the proxy risk assessment instrument.


"Class of 2011" - 7,113 individuals booked in 2011 and their rearrests later in 2011 through 2012

	Low Risk of Recidivism 934 total individuals	Medium Risk of Recidivism 3,123 total individuals	High Risk of Recidivism 3,056 total individuals
Recidivism	47.1%	62.5%	78.1%
Male	660 total individuals	2,473 total individuals	2,638 total individuals
Rate	45.5%	62.2%	78.4%
Female	274 total individuals	650 total individuals	418 total individuals
Rate	51.1%	63.7%	76.8%
Black	215 total individuals	1,348 total individuals	1,732 total individuals
Rate	51.2%	64.8%	83.0%
Hispanic	159 total individuals	318 total individuals	269 total individuals
Rate	36.5%	56.3%	72.1%
White	544 total individuals	1,431 total individuals	1,036 total individuals
Rate	49.1%	61.6%	71.6%


Recidivism Info - Including Likelihood of Recidivating

 **TalkingPoint!** Additional information regarding regarding the recidivism of individuals booked into KCCF between 2010 and 2011:

- ➔ An offender with a 2010 booking event experienced a total of 43 separate bookings between 2010 and 2012.
- ➔ Overall, male offenders were booked an average of 2.0 times and female offenders were booked an average of 1.7 times.
- ➔ Black offenders were booked an average of 2.3 times, hispanic offenders were booked an average of 1.7 times, and white offenders were booked an average of 1.7 times.
- ➔ Average (median) length of time between an offender's first and second bookings was 147.8 days for male offenders and 151.1 days for female offenders.
- ➔ Average (median) length of time between an offender's first and second bookings was 153.3 days for black offenders, 160.7 days for hispanic offenders, and 142.5 days for white offenders.

 **TalkingPoint!** In 2010 Kent County began using an instrument to predict the likelihood of an inmate booked into KCCF returning to jail at some point and time in the future. This "Proxy" instrument divides the inmates into three levels, with **R1's being the least likely to return to jail, R3's being the most likely to return to jail, and R2's being in the middle**. The breakdown of Proxy scores for the offenders: R1: 10.1% // R2: 38.1% // R3: 51.8%

- ➔ Looking at those offenders that received a low category proxy score (R1) from the Recidivism Since 2010 page, male offenders averaged 2.7 bookings while female offenders averaged of 2.6 bookings. Looking at those offenders that received a high category proxy score (R3) from the same page, the average number of bookings for males increases to 3.7 bookings while the average for female offenders increases to 3.8 bookings.
- ➔ Looking at those offenders that received a low category proxy score (R1) from the Recidivism Since 2010 page, black offenders averaged 2.7 bookings, whites averaged of 2.6 bookings, and hispanics averaged of 2.7 bookings. Switching to those offenders receiving a high category proxy score (R3) from the same page, the average for black offenders increases to 3.9 bookings, the average for white offenders increases to 3.6 bookings, and the average for hispanic offenders increases to 3.4 bookings.
- ➔ Looking at those offenders that received a low category proxy score (R1) from the Recidivism Since 2010 page, the average (median) length of time between an offender's first and second bookings was 111.8 days for male offenders and 124.2 days for female offenders. Switching to those offenders receiving a high category proxy score (R3), the average (median) length of time between an offender's first and second bookings decreases to 102.2 days for male offenders and 85.8 days for female offenders.
- ➔ Looking at those offenders that received a low category proxy score (R1) from the Recidivism Since 2010 page, the average (median) length of time between an offender's first and second bookings was 110.7 days for black offenders, 92.6 days for hispanic offenders, and 119.6 days for white offenders. Switching to those offenders receiving a high category proxy score (R3), the average (median) length of time between an offender's first and second bookings decreases to 96.1 days for black offenders and 99.9 days for white offenders while it increases to 114.9 days for hispanic offenders.


 **TrendSpotter!** The numbers below show a very interesting decline in the number of offenders coming to jail for the first time.

- 2006** 13,635 offenders were booked into jail for the first time during 2006
- 2007** 12,920 offenders were booked into jail for the first time during 2007 (5.5% decline from 2006)
- 2008** 10,702 offenders were booked into jail for the first time during 2008 (17.2% decline from 2007)
- 2009** 9,541 offenders were booked into jail for the first time during 2009 (10.8% decline from 2008)
- 2010** 7,894 offenders were booked into jail for the first time during 2010 (17.3% decline from 2009)
- 2011** 7,445 offenders were booked into jail for the first time during 2011 (5.7% decline from 2010)
- 2012** 5,416 offenders were booked into jail for the first time during 2012 (27.2% decline from 2011)

Number of Inmates Brought to Jail by Arresting Agency


Top 5 Agencies Account for 81% of Incoming Inmates

Code	Arresting Agency	# of Arrests	Percent of Total
4195	GRAND RAPIDS POLICE DEPARTMENT	11,075	43.01%
4100	KENT COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT	5,469	21.24%
4189	WYOMING POLICE DEPARTMENT	2,420	9.40%
SELF	SELF REPORT OR TURN IN	1,657	6.43%
4168	KENTWOOD POLICE DEPARTMENT	1,171	4.55%
DOC	MDOC - This is a 150% increase over 2011	775	3.01%
4161	MICHIGAN STATE POLICE - ROCKFORD	694	2.70%
4169	WALKER POLICE DEPARTMENT	624	2.42%
4167	GRANDVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT	558	2.17%
OTH	ANY OTHER ARRESTING AGENCY	256	0.99%
4166	EAST GRAND RAPIDS PUBLIC SAFETY	191	0.74%
4140	ROCKFORD CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT	163	0.63%
4139	CEDAR SPRINGS POLICE DEPARTMENT	142	0.55%
4146	LOWELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	141	0.55%
USMSHL	U. S. MARSHAL	135	0.52%
4147	SPARTA POLICE DEPARTMENT	113	0.44%
4132	SAND LAKE POLICE DEPARTMENT	48	0.19%
GRCC	GRAND RAPIDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE CAMPUS POLICE	36	0.14%
TRANSCOR	TRANSCOR PRISONER TRANSPORT	14	0.05%
4160	MICHIGAN STATE POLICE - 6TH DISTRICT HQ	13	0.05%
41FM	FEDERAL MARSHALS	13	0.05%
ATTY	ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	0.05%
WMET	WEST MICHIGAN ENFORCEMENT TEAM	10	0.04%
INS	IMMIGRATION NATURALIZATION SERVICE	4	0.02%
DNR	DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	3	0.01%
SPEC	SPECTRUM HEALTH POLICE	3	0.01%
DEA	DEA	2	0.01%
FBI	FBI	2	0.01%
FOC	FRIEND OF COURT	2	0.01%
GRR	GERALD R FORD INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT POLICE	2	0.01%
4156	MICHIGAN STATE POLICE - WAYLAND	1	0.00%
5942	MICHIGAN STATE POLICE - LAKEVIEW	1	0.00%
Grand Total		25,751	100%



Number of Inmates Brought to Jail in the Last 12 Years by the Top Five Arresting Agencies

Most Agencies Reduce Arrests



TrendSpotter! All five of these arresting agencies continued a trend of reduced numbers of offenders they arrested and brought to jail during the year. The peak for Grand Rapids, Kent County and Wyoming was in 2003. MSP peaked in 2005 and Kentwood in 2006. Kentwood was the only agency that increased this year.

Inmate Classification System




Computerized Decision Tree Aids Placement Decisions

Midway through 2004 the jail began using a new Jail Management System called JailView. JailView uses the Northpointe® decision tree classification instrument which breaks inmates down into the traditional three categories of Maximum, Medium and Minimum, but it also provides nine levels of sub-categories that are useful for making program and placement decisions. Below is a brief definition of each of the custody levels and the average number and proportion of inmates within each classification.


Custody Level	Definition	Main Jail Males	Main Jail Females	Jail System (M & F)
High (1)	These inmates are in jail on a violent charge, with a prior conviction on a violent charge, and with a record of violent institutional behavior.	2 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (0%)
Close (2)	These inmates have a combination of two incidents of violence found in either their current charge, past charges or institutional behavior record.	34 (4%)	4 (3%)	38 (3%)
Medium Assaultive (3)	These inmates have one incident of violence in either their current charge, past charge or institutional behavior.	155 (18%)	10 (8%)	166 (15%)
Medium (4)	These inmates are in jail for a serious non-violent felony and/or have institutional behavior problems.	283 (33%)	40 (37%)	324 (29%)
Medium Pre-Sentenced (5)	These inmates are likely prison bound, but they are well behaved and have no record of violence or rule violations.	72 (8%)	5 (4%)	78 (7%)
Minimum Pre-Sentenced (6)	These inmates will likely not go to prison and are well behaved with no record of violence or rule violations.	142 (16%)	24 (22%)	176 (16%)
Minimum (7)	These inmates are fully sentenced to county jail time on a felony charge, have no recent history of violence and are well behaved, but they lack employment or ties to the community.	89 (10%)	11 (10%)	166 (15%)
Low (8)	These inmates are sentenced to the county jail on a felony charge, have no recent record of violence, are well behaved and have employment or local ties to the community.	78 (9%)	12 (11%)	133 (12%)
Very Low (9)	These inmates are sentenced to the county jail on misdemeanor charges, have no recent record of violence, are well behaved and have ties to the community.	6 (1%)	2 (2%)	13 (1%)

Amount of Work Done by Our Nine Classifications Officers

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Primary Class	10,240	10,850	11,097	12,347	13,037	12,960	12,946	12,460	10,202	9,740	9,419
Agg Review Class	--	--	--	--	1,397	1,379	1,349	1,195	1,260	1,171	1,170
Mit Review Class	981	1,723	0	3,503	9,060	9,037	8,638	8,388	7,560	8,206	8,744
All Classifications	11,221	12,573	11,097	15,850	23,494	23,376	22,933	22,043	19,022	19,117	19,333
Inmate Cell Moves	41,312	43,913	41,975	44,977	46,522	44,520	42,244	39,687	37,754	39,659	40,495
AFIS Verifications	--	--	--	--	29,109	28,022	27,901	28,107	25,396	25,452	25,421
AFIS % of NBI's	--	--	--	--	93%	95%	97%	99%	99%	99%	99%
ASU Hearings	199	125	115	68	113	81	105	115	91	82	130
Notifications Comp	--	--	--	--	514	564	418	272	244	448	624
Notifications Sent	--	--	--	--	3,750	3,296	3,004	2,498	2,203	7,738	7,867
Alerts Entered	--	--	--	--	33,963	29,815	27,816	23,061	37,573	29,846	35,138
Case Notes	--	--	--	--	2,160	1,680	1,295	911	873	1016	2,606





Average Amount of Time Inmates Stay in Jail, Shown with Inmates Grouped by Release Type




The graph above was based on a sample of 24,835 inmates released in 2012.

TalkingPoint! The pie charts below contain a comparison of the Average Length of Stay (ALOS) at the time of the Bennett jail expansion study and the ALOS in 2012, depicting the much shorter ALOS in 2012.

	1989	2011
< 1 day	28.17%	43.49%
1 day	13.55%	12.60%
2 to 4 days	12.90%	10.14%
5 to 15 days	14.84%	15.36%
16 to 30 days	6.24%	5.71%
> 30 days	24.30%	12.69%



Average Length of Stay Depicted as Jail Bed Days




TalkingPoint! This chart shows the concept of average length of stay depicted as Jail Bed Days. Jail Bed Days are an accurate way of displaying the actual impact on jail beds, because it is counting the days that a jail bed is occupied. The chart about shows that although we had 10,802 people who stayed less than one day in jail, they used far fewer jail beds than the 3,816 inmates who stayed 5 to 15 days in jail. In fact, the 1,014 inmates who stayed 31 to 60 days used the most jail beds. The main interpretation of these data is that the greatest impact on jail capacity would be achieved by concentrating our efforts on reducing the stay of the inmates who are in jail from one to five months. It is that group of inmates who are using most of the jail's beds.

# of Days in Jail	# of Inmates
< 1	10802
1	3130
2 to 4	2519
5 to 15	3816
16 to 30	1418
31 to 60	1014
61 to 90	531
91 to 120	518
121 to 150	463
151 to 180	193
181 to 210	92
211 to 240	122
241 to 270	71
271 to 300	69
301 to 330	60
> 331	18

Reasons Why Inmates are Released and the Percentage of Inmates Released in the Most Common Types of Releases


The Majority of Incoming Inmates Released Back to the Community



TalkingPoint! 83% of the inmates who come into our jail are released directly back into the community. (This chart is based on a sample of 24,000 records in 2012.) Only about 17% get sent to prison or to another agency. Hard data is not available on that 17%, but probably most of those inmates return to our community at some point. It is important to remember that resources devoted to local jail inmates benefit our local communities.


Number of Days Inmates Spend in Jail Before Release

87% of All Inmates Are in Jail Less than 30 Days



Number of Hours Inmates Spend in Jail Before Being Released on Bond

76% of All Inmates Who Post Bond, do so Within the First 48 hours




Agency Billing Information

Billing Other Agencies Nets Just Over One Million Dollars

Agency	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
MDOC	\$362,565.00	\$383,670.00	\$319,340.00	\$335,090.00	\$43,995.00	\$102,598.00	\$147,875.00
Grand Rapids	\$972,743.58	\$1,005,010.10	\$900,874.66	\$633,977.18	\$569,452.49	\$603,951.08	\$620,044.29
Grandville PD	\$118,075.89	\$137,092.23	\$84,825.04	\$84,519.77	\$75,180.29	\$113,009.57	\$81,913.15
Immigration	\$42,395.14	\$40,560.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,680.00
Kentwood PD	\$92,969.53	\$113,178.11	\$103,679.68	\$57,435.57	\$46,359.39	\$55,066.24	\$59,537.51
Walker PD	\$211,290.96	\$191,147.40	\$186,529.71	\$136,631.85	\$105,633.49	\$91,431.96	\$121,235.26
Wyoming PD	\$503,803.29	\$434,406.65	\$377,613.74	\$333,706.85	\$204,016.31	302970.79	\$240,372.09
Federal	\$18,840.00	\$14,040.00	\$14,320.00	\$1,480.00	\$800.00	\$1,040.00	\$920.00
Border Patrol	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Army	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$80.00
GRCC	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
SIR	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
total	\$2,322,683	\$2,319,104	\$1,987,183	\$1,582,841	\$1,045,437	\$1,270,068	\$1,283,657
daily rate	\$52.19	\$51.16	\$47.80	\$35.85	\$36.82	\$36.39	\$37.48

State of Michigan
 (billing is done by the Office of Community Corrections - this info based on their FY)


\$791,613	\$837,506	\$1,084,847	\$734,585	\$607,235	\$835,896	\$928,265
-----------	-----------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------



Processing Fees for the Last Ten Years

Charging Agencies to Process New Inmates Nets Over \$200,000

	Grand Rapids PD	Kentwood PD	Wyoming PD	Grandville PD	GRCC	Walker	Total
2002	\$220,192.27	\$18,553.52	\$49,300.33	\$8,865.60	\$111.25		\$297,022.97
2003	\$266,665.91	\$19,661.90	\$53,977.01	\$10,955.15	\$119.08		\$351,379.05
2004	\$204,129.99	\$17,251.52	\$43,289.08	\$11,903.30	\$157.90		\$276,731.79
2005	\$229,091.08	\$23,240.92	\$47,041.20	\$12,705.34	\$155.55		\$312,234.09
2006	\$232,241.11	\$21,034.78	\$45,483.97	\$12,128.59	\$285.69		\$311,174.14
2007	\$273,776.60	\$26,786.43	\$45,867.11	\$14,931.50	\$236.43		\$361,598.07
2008	\$245,898.68	\$24,505.18	\$41,620.77	\$11,961.48	\$142.23		\$324,128.34
2009	\$181,641.55	\$17,960.22	\$33,766.01	\$9,965.99	\$361.44		\$243,695.21
2010	\$143,465.53	\$14,615.81	\$27,539.97	\$9,425.83	\$211.45	\$9,627.03	\$204,885.62
2011	\$162,769.00	\$16,182.12	\$34,062.40	\$9,465.04	\$237.02	\$8,355.60	\$231,071.18
2012	\$163,517.66	\$17,048.26	\$33,786.71	\$8,206.69	\$267.78	\$9,407.88	\$232,234.98



2002 booking fee was \$16.55
 2003 booking fee was \$18.32
 2004 booking fee was \$17.16
 2005 booking fee was \$18.30
 2006 booking fee was \$18.60
 2007 booking fee was \$19.98
 2008 booking fee was \$20.08
 2009 booking fee was \$15.06
 2010 booking fee was \$15.47
 2011 booking fee was \$15.29
 2012 booking fee was \$15.75



2002 total billed was \$297,023
 2003 total billed was \$351,379
 2004 total billed was \$276,731
 2005 total billed was \$312,234
 2006 total billed was \$311,174
 2007 total billed was \$361,598
 2008 total billed was \$324,128
 2009 total billed was \$243,695
 2010 total billed was \$204,885
 2011 total billed was \$231,071
 2012 total billed was \$232,235

Room and Board Collection Efforts

Collections Increased Slightly this Year

Year	Room & Board Billed to inmates	Outside Collections Agency's Commission	Paid to Kent County through collections process	Paid by Inmate	Total Collected	% of Billings actually Collected
1999		--	\$14,055.03	\$41,676.57	\$55,731.60	
2000		\$7,455.90	\$13,842.92	\$49,480.48	\$63,323.40	
2001		\$11,010.27	\$18,466.24	\$54,045.56	\$72,511.80	
2002		\$20,280.12	\$35,991.99	\$69,320.71	\$105,312.70	
2003		\$14,213.85	\$26,379.90	\$51,292.78	\$77,672.68	
2004		\$13,651.87	\$25,113.29	\$68,817.09	\$93,930.38	
2005		\$15,990.54	\$28,903.66	\$80,968.97	\$109,872.63	
2006		\$18,784.80	\$34,886.06	\$68,755.01	\$103,641.07	
2007	\$2,567,477.00	\$12,151.30	\$22,414.91	\$79,864.73	\$102,279.64	3.98%
2008	\$2,799,579.02	\$16,833.61	\$30,118.29	\$73,639.43	\$103,757.72	3.71%
2009	\$3,222,504.00	\$14,960.30	\$25,180.84	\$63,518.51	\$88,699.35	2.75%
2010	\$2,680,984.00	\$9,722.23	\$16,767.54	\$64,838.84	\$81,606.38	3.04%
2011	\$2,755,224.00	\$13,690.16	\$24,050.00	\$62,441.67	\$86,491.67	3.14%
2012	\$2,993,760.00	\$21,769.21	\$37,463.20	\$86,985.41	\$124,448.61	4.16%

Percentage of Collections - 2012



County Jail Reimbursement Program

Fiscal Year 2012 Update by Andy VerHeek

CJRP reimbursement and eligibility criteria witnessed yet another change within the program during FY 2012 that impacted the funds received by Kent County. The table below shows that Kent County witnessed an increase of funds received through CJRP from \$835,895.50 in FY 2011 to \$928,264.50 in FY 2012.

Highlights of these changes from FY 2011 to FY 2012 included:

1. All counties in Michigan in FY 2012 were required to follow the same eligibility and reimbursement criteria. There was no choice as there was in FY 2011.
2. During FY 2012 there were three different reimbursement amounts depending upon the offender's sentencing guideline score and type of offense.
4. Save for a few select offenses, FY 2012 eligibility criteria essentially eliminated Crime Class F offenses that were eligible for reimbursement during FY 2011.

In addition to these changes, CJRP monies received by Kent County are impacted by the length of stay in the Kent County Correctional Facility for each offender as well as the jail disposition rate for CJRP-eligible offenders sentenced in Kent County.

FISCAL YEAR	CJRP PAYMENT	TOTAL RECEIVED
1994	\$513,520.00	\$513,520.00
1995	\$863,265.00	\$1,376,785.00
1996	\$764,628.00	\$2,141,413.00
1997	\$731,460.00	\$2,872,873.00
1998	\$695,225.00	\$3,568,098.00
1999	\$699,353.00	\$4,267,451.00
2000	\$1,244,996.00	\$5,512,447.00
2001	\$1,120,632.00	\$6,633,079.00
2002	\$1,212,242.00	\$7,845,321.00
2003	\$1,063,183.50	\$8,908,504.50
2004	\$1,069,839.00	\$9,978,343.50
2005	\$968,571.00	\$10,946,914.50
2006	\$791,613.00	\$11,738,527.50
2007	\$837,505.50	\$12,576,033.00
2008	\$1,084,846.50	\$13,660,879.50
2009	\$734,584.50	\$14,395,464.00
2010	\$607,234.50	\$15,002,698.50
2011	\$835,895.50	\$15,838,594.00
2012	\$928,264.50	\$16,766,858.50



Thanks! A big thank you to Andy VerHeek for providing the information for this page, and also the two pages earlier in this book called "Recidivism Since 2010" and "Likelihood to Recidivate."

Types of Criminal Charges That Bring Inmates to Jail and Types that Keep Them in Jail

This breakdown is from a "booking" sample of about 26,000 inmates released in 2012.

Charge Type	Number	Percentage
ARSON	35	0.09%
ASLT - FEL	1,451	3.66%
ASLT - MIS	3,052	7.69%
B&E	349	0.88%
BAD CHECKS	41	0.10%
BURGLARY	127	0.32%
CHILD	184	0.46%
COMPUTERS	42	0.11%
CURFEW	45	0.11%
DAMAGE	635	1.60%
DISORDERLY	6,454	16.27%
DRUGS	3,861	9.73%
DRUNK	2	0.01%
EMBEZZLE	120	0.30%
ESCAPE	53	0.13%
EXPLOSIVES	2	0.01%
EXTORTION	35	0.09%
FOC	2,449	6.17%
FORGERY	66	0.17%
FRAUD	719	1.81%
GAMBLING	3	0.01%
HOME INVAS	374	0.94%
HOMICIDE	16	0.04%
KIDNAPPING	13	0.03%
LARCENY	2,897	7.30%
LIQUOR	1,108	2.79%
MOTOR	126	0.32%
OBSCENITY	41	0.10%
OTHER	1,834	4.62%
OUIL	3,154	7.95%
PROPERTY	310	0.78%
PROSTITUTE	164	0.41%
ROBBERY	240	0.60%
SEX ASSLT	309	0.78%
SEX OFFENS	333	0.84%
TRAFFIC	7,519	18.95%
TRESPASS	954	2.40%
WEAPON	559	1.41%

This breakdown is from a sample of 1,100 inmates making up the "stock" population of the jail on a given day in 2012.


Charge Type	Number	Percentage
ARSON	7	0.63%
ASLT - FEL	142	12.85%
ASLT - MIS	50	4.52%
B&E	14	1.27%
BURGLARY	3	0.27%
CHILD	10	0.90%
COMPUTERS	2	0.18%
DAMAGE	12	1.09%
DISORDERLY	195	17.65%
DRUGS	123	11.13%
EMBEZZLE	3	0.27%
ESCAPE	4	0.36%
EXTORTION	1	0.09%
FOC	14	1.27%
FRAUD	30	2.71%
HOME INVAS	55	4.98%
HOMICIDE	10	0.90%
KIDNAPPING	3	0.27%
LARCENY	103	9.32%
LIQUOR	3	0.27%
MOTOR	9	0.81%
OBSCENITY	1	0.09%
OTHER	8	0.72%
OUIL	60	5.43%
PROPERTY	9	0.81%
PROSTITUTE	15	1.36%
ROBBERY	61	5.52%
SEX ASSLT	62	5.61%
SEX OFFENS	23	2.08%
TRAFFIC	34	3.08%
TRESPASS	2	0.18%
WEAPON	37	3.35%



TalkingPoint! The information on this page is based on KCCF inmates' top charge (the most serious charge) and it depicts the difference in the charge severity between the offenders who come into and out of jail (the "booking" population) and those who stay in custody (the "stock" population). The stock population generally is made up of inmates with more serious charges than the booking population.


Types of Criminal Charges Over Time

Charge Type	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
TRAFFIC	6,295	6,855	7,776	6,886	6,660	6,606	5,462	7,571	7,519
DISORDERLY	5,222	5,435	5,640	5,221	5,505	5,267	5,119	6,600	6,454
DRUGS	3,188	3,365	3,433	3,349	3,156	3,442	2,802	4,049	3,861
OUIL	4,186	4,272	3,924	3,656	3,513	3,570	3,137	3,256	3,154
ASLT - MIS	1,088	1,201	2,391	2,571	2,722	2,978	2,468	2,973	3,052
FOC	22	19	896	105	88	65	1,738	2,554	2,449
LARCENY	1,737	1,456	1,997	2,383	2,567	2,849	1,969	2,552	2,897
OTHER	3,304	2,904	2,456	3,292	3,248	3,562	1,480	1,788	1,834
ASLT - FEL	2,505	2,950	1,727	1,541	1,280	1,179	1,314	1,624	1,451
LIQUOR	1,163	1,234	1,160	1,157	1,196	1,225	915	985	1,108
TRESPASS	857	887	1,041	978	1,002	1,014	859	957	954
FRAUD	1,218	1,959	1,102	841	870	800	1,048	913	719
DAMAGE	486	526	585	699	746	759	635	645	635
WEAPON	368	427	405	459	488	486	357	543	559
SEX OFFENS	514	631	412	354	285	326	319	473	333
HOME INVAS	31	128	252	261	256	407	328	418	309
SEX ASSLT	337	295	283	259	259	273	203	389	374
B&E	48	181	297	393	346	342	234	327	349
PROPERTY	268	356	361	375	317	359	259	282	310
ROBBERY	182	212	257	234	263	213	134	255	240
BURGLARY	592	586	345	234	200	185	129	149	127
PROSTITUTE	11	45	198	148	221	215	165	144	164
MOTOR	156	181	176	136	119	120	99	123	126
EMBEZZLE	133	174	173	146	167	136	87	104	120
EXTORTION	40	61	37	38	43	35	49	70	35
FORGERY	189	152	98	59	55	58	45	51	66
ESCAPE	90	118	93	73	59	73	36	48	53
BAD CHECKS	20	39	118	137	105	95	44	37	41
ARSON	26	19	37	35	16	25	18	32	35
HOMICIDE	33	26	24	34	37	33	19	23	16
KIDNAPPING	15	21	13	13	7	16	8	21	13



Charges by Jail Bed Days

Kidnappers in jail longest, Most JBD's used by Disorderly charges



Charge	# of Inmates	Jail Bed Days	Average
HOMICIDE	16	3,502	218.9
ESCAPE	53	6,651	125.5
ARSON	35	3,906	111.6
KIDNAPPING	13	1,350	103.8
SEX ASSLT	309	32,031	103.7
OBSCENITY	41	3,988	97.3
ROBBERY	240	22,846	95.2
OTHER	1,834	159,108	86.8
WEAPON	559	43,627	78.0
SEX OFFENS	333	24,891	74.7
HOME INVAS	374	25,911	69.3
MOTOR	126	8,335	66.2
COMPUTERS	42	2,643	62.9
ASLT - FEL	1,451	74,460	51.3
B&E	349	17,141	49.1
PROPERTY	310	14,766	47.6
CHILD	184	8,363	45.5
PROSTITUTE	164	6,861	41.8
EXTORTION	35	1,442	41.2
BAD CHECKS	41	1,586	38.7
DRUGS	3,861	143,361	37.1
FRAUD	719	24,868	34.6
BURGLARY	127	4,283	33.7
EXPLOSIVES	2	62	31.0
LARCENY	2,897	89,166	30.8
FORGERY	66	2,001	30.3
EMBEZZLE	120	3,298	27.5
DISORDERLY	6,454	176,236	27.3

TalkingPoint! The information on this page is based on the top charge of over 26,000 offenders who were released from jail in 2012, with some having multiple charges. The Jail Bed Days represents the total number of days these offenders stayed in jail. For example, 1 offender sitting in jail for 1 day is equal to 1 Jail Bed Days. 200 offenders in jail for 5 days each is each to 1,000 Jail Bed Days. The purpose of the table and chart on this page is to depict what type of offender uses the most Jail Bed Days, and to show that it is not just the crime category with the most number of inmates. The table at left is sorted by the "average" jail bed days per offender of each charge type. This year, as in prior years, the category of offender that uses the most total jail bed days are the 6,454 offenders charged with crimes that fall into the "disorderly" classification.

Total Counts of Incidents and Inmate Rule Violations

Code	Description of Incident	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
BLOOD	Blood draw for drunk driving arrest			460	453	475	467	423	444	401	413	402
CERT	CERT team deployment			33	45	36	25	20	17	12	20	25
CLAS	Classification ruling			130	115	146	155	109	237	159	204	253
INFO	Information report			855	499	599	850	862	717	614	613	408
S3	suicide precaution	370	397	302	552	464	449	403	303	253	266	275
	Total of Informational Reports			1780	1664	1720	1946	1817	1718	1439	1516	1363
V101	failure to clean cell or assist in clean up	732	579	449	535	488	422	494	513	564	495	659
V102	possession of extra clothing or bedding	254	309	710	407	485	519	771	324	362	238	414
V103	possess food not sold in commissary	93	42	52	77	189	314	579	175	65	82	66
V104	hanging clothing from walls or bars	117	194	150	199	244	290	444	382	192	338	182
V105	covering lights or obstructing vision	113	373	395	287	228	387	414	575	424	486	221
V106	jail uniform violation	158	106	62	104	122	116	306	103	121	183	221
V107	shouting or acting in a disruptive way	1489	1512	1650	1680	2176	2492	3118	1683	2,483	2,742	3423
V108	failure to follow housing unit rules	1263	1201	1390	1283	2035	1758	2084	1431	1,534	2,903	5543
V109	failure to follow meal time rules	133	168	216	184	370	258	266	262	382	215	349
V110	failure to hang up phone when required	10	10	34	145	66	77	151	169	84	24	30
V111	tattoo or self mutilation violation	1	0	0	6	3	10	15	0	0	0	0
V112	ID card violation	42	9	10	7	7	48	24	20	0	0	0
V113	pass, sell, borrow or trade violation	143	148	208	165	258	164	292	156	134	148	152
V114	throwing trash in common areas	91	54	70	88	101	148	377	188	154	170	186
V115	misuse of the intercom	260	321	355	375	617	560	473	323	310	399	533
V116	gambling violation	5	2	4	0	0	8	0	10	1	1	13
V117	attempt to commit a Cat 1	4	5	8	3	3	27	2	2	3	0	1
V118	talking through the vents	221	260	123	96	63	42	39	34	27	41	33
V119	possessing another's radio	8	10	11	5	11	6	28	5	33	14	6
V120	altering county property	20	46	14	24	40	61	38	45	27	63	153
V121	plugging vents in cell	216	200	223	379	636	228	173	139	25	278	305
V122	hanging sheets / privacy curtain	13	19	0	72	142	55	32	14	49	73	17
	Total Category 1 Violations	5386	5568	6134	6121	8284	7990	10120	6553	6974	8893	12507
V201	failure to give name	1	1	0	2	4	3	1	1	23	16	2
V202	vulgar or obscene language	53	58	51	92	93	80	75	60	60	58	59
V203	indecent exposure	4	3	5	6	4	4	7	3	5	3	6
V204	lying	9	12	17	29	25	21	19	20	17	24	10
V205	possess tobacco or matches	14	15	20	46	40	33	25	20	18	20	6
V206	coming out of cell	2	4	16	11	7	5	6	17	5	3	10
V207	spit on another inmate	11	11	4	2	17	12	16	21	12	8	13
V208	going to an unauthorized area	6	6	4	7	3	3	8	9	7	6	6
V209	going into another's cell	7	1	4	2	0	12	18	10	10	11	6
V210	interfering with headcount	1	4	9	0	4	0	1	0	0	26	15
V211	making intoxicants	4	5	2	3	11	1	11	4	0	6	4
V212	planning an escape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V213	stealing	9	12	11	21	22	20	26	29	14	20	23
V214	misuse of medication	23	43	42	46	26	49	22	23	8	20	14
V215	making sexual threats	4	3	5	0	0	2	1	2	0	5	2
V216	sexual proposals to staff	1	0	5	2	0	2	4	0	2	2	1
V217	consensual sexual acts	0	4	0	2	4	2	2	0	7	2	6
V218	threatening another inmate	7	11	24	18	17	25	21	18	27	18	6
V219	racketeering	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
V220	possess contraband	11	5	18	17	15	13	18	13	14	11	9
V221	failure to proceed as directed	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
V222	fighting with another inmate	161	185	116	135	149	169	196	155	159	164	211
V223	failure to move	52	49	57	46	57	56	44	61	59	63	64
V224	hinder or oppose staff	13	31	42	22	42	58	52	42	45	37	47
V225	blocking or propping a door	1	6	2	3	4	1	1	2	1	1	1
V226	gang related activity	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V227	tampering with razor	2	3	2	1	2	3	0	0	0	4	1
V228	failure to follow visiting rules	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	2	4	3
V229	attempting a Category 2	2	1	4	0	1	4	7	2	2	3	6
V230	defecating or urinating in wrong location	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	4	2	1	2
V231	harassing phone calls							0	1	1	0	0
V232	running a store	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Total Category 2 Violations	404	478	469	519	550	585	583	518	500	537	537


Total Counts of Incidents and Inmate Rule Violations (cont.)

Code	Description of Incident	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
V301	criminal code violation	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	0
V302	assaulting another inmate	42	62	61	43	36	65	75	66	49	50	62
V303	assaulting an inmate a weapon	1	5	1	2	1	4	0	4	0	1	4
V304	sexual assault another inmate	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
V305	attempting to escape	1	2	2	2	2	1	0	2	0	0	2
V306	walk away	7	5	9	15	9	11	5	0	1	1	0
V307	escape	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
V308	rioting	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
V309	failure to obey an order	20	40	51	65	44	50	34	32	22	33	32
V310	failure to lock up	27	50	33	51	55	46	51	62	36	57	42
V311	arson or setting a fire	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	5	3
V312	possess or make a weapon	7	13	3	7	5	3	7	2	1	0	1
V313	possession of an unauthorized tool	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
V314	possession of a narcotic	9	5	10	12	7	4	6	4	8	15	2
V315	introduction of contraband	1	4	3	2	1	9	5	5	1	1	6
V316	behavior which might cause injury	2	1	8	11	6	1	6	7	5	6	5
V317	tampering with locking device	1	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	1	5	2
V318	assaulting a staff person	6	5	5	8	3	6	7	6	3	2	7
V319	assaulting staff w/ a weapon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
V320	threatening a staff person	15	19	22	15	24	17	21	16	17	8	24
V321	spitting on a staff person	8	4	1	6	4	6	6	6	2	2	3
V322	tampering with staff property	1	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
V323	causing damage to facility	19	15	11	6	12	2	8	7	17	31	10
V324	causing a flood	2	6	9	0	7	2	11	17	12	15	12
V325	violation of honor camp program rules	32	15	24	28	25	36	12	3	16	0	0
V326	violation of work release program rules	53	63	97	140	204	99	52	70	82	48	61
V327	violation of sober living program rules	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	9
V328	violation of education pod rules	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V329	violation of trusty guidelines	30	19	29	25	15	5	4	8	9	8	6
V330	manipulate cell assignment	12	17	10	11	23	21	22	47	20	19	15
V331	attempt a Cat 3	3	2	6	5	0	1	3	8	1	0	8
	Total Category 3 Violations	300	360	400	460	486	395	339	374	309	331	319
	Total Category 3 Violations without V306, V325 & V326	208	277	270	277	248	249	270	301	210	282	258
	Total of Category 2 and Category 3 Violations	612	755	739	796	798	834	853	819	710	819	795
	Total of All Categories of Rule Violations	5998	6323	6873	6917	9082	8824	10973	7372	7684	9712	13302

Description of Action	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Signal Brown (officer needs emergency assistance)	9	4	7	7	2	10	5	4	2	6	6
Signal Whites called (inmate medical emergency)	6	7	12	17	21	28	16	19	13	19	33
Signal Blues called (suicide attempt in progress)				8	4	4	5	7	5	2	13
Signal Greens called (inmate fight in progress)	71	70	43	33	42	57	58	47	41	72	92
Signal Oranges called (fire)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Use of force reports	73	123	171	163	123	129	100	138	72	87	115
Warning for a category 1 violation				149	260	323	230	189	528	896	1091
One Day Time In for a category 1 violation				1737	1992	2231	2342	2064	808	1352	2162
Two Days Time In for a category 1 violation				744	1028	972	1074	871	277	361	431
Three Days Time In for a category				2531	2974	2818	4007	3194	1013	1153	1437



Number of Serious Rule Violations Indexed to Population Most Accurate Way to Depict Change with Changing Inmate Population

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Jail Bed Days	350,035	338,720	346,750	352,955	366,460	388,360	391,645	387,337	384,134	381,633	372,591	391,755	332,631	352,368	377,752
total rule violations	907	692	614	636	612	755	739	796	798	834	853	819	710	819	795
per 1000 Jail Bed Days	2.59	2.04	1.77	1.80	1.67	1.94	1.89	2.06	2.08	2.19	2.29	2.09	2.13	2.32	2.10



With fluctuations in the jail population, converting Incident Based data to the number of rule violations committed per 1000 Jail Bed Days gives a more accurate picture of inmate behavior.

Total Number of Category 1, 2 and 3 Rule Violations in the Last Eleven Years




These graphs show the number of Category 1, 2, and 3 reports per year for the last few years. We have three levels of disciplinary rule violations in the jail. The most minor rule violations are Category 1 violations. Category 2 violations are more serious and Category 3 violations are the most serious. Our disciplinary philosophy is that we would rather deal with an inmate's misbehavior while it is still minor, which is why there are more Category 1 violations than 2's, and more 2's than 3's. Inmates committing Category 2 and 3 violations go to the jail's Disciplinary Segregation Unit to complete their disciplinary sanctions. This chart includes only those rule violations that occurred in the Main Jail.

Index of Antisocial Inmate Behavior

Grouping of Rule Violations that Have to Do with Impolite or Socially Unacceptable Behavior

"Antisocial" acts	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
vulgar language	89	61	70	69	53	58	51	92	93	80	75	60	60	58	59
indecent exposure	0	13	10	4	4	3	5	6	4	4	7	3	5	3	6
possess tobacco	51	38	34	21	14	15	20	46	40	33	25	20	18	20	6
spit/throw liquid	21	24	13	20	11	11	4	2	17	12	16	21	12	8	13
making intoxicants	8	10	9	6	4	5	2	3	11	1	11	4	0	6	4
stealing	19	9	2	14	9	12	11	21	22	20	26	29	14	20	23
consenting sex	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	2	4	2	2	0	7	2	6
racketeering	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
urinating/defecating	0	2	5	5	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	4	2	1	2
possess narcotics	8	8	6	6	9	5	10	12	7	4	6	4	8	15	2
throw liquid on staff	14	6	6	6	8	4	1	6	4	6	6	6	2	2	3
total	210	174	155	151	115	120	108	194	204	164	176	151	128	135	125




Each of the inmate rule violations is identified as targeting one of five types of unwanted behavior. Grouping the violations like this and considering the totals of each group provides us with an index used to determine what types of behavior can best be controlled by the kinds of sanctions we have available to us. This page shows the violations which are considered to be **antisocial behavior**.

Index of Destructive Inmate Behavior

Grouping of Rule Violations that Have to Do with Damaging Property

"Destructive" acts	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
tamper with razor	9	1	3	2	2	3	2	1	2	3	0	0	0	4	1
set or make a fire	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	5	3
possess a tool	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
behavior cause injury	5	2	4	2	2	1	8	11	6	1	6	7	5	6	5
tamper with lock	3	2	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	1	5	2
tamper staff property	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
damage to facility	30	17	11	12	19	15	11	6	12	2	8	7	17	31	10
flooding	16	18	34	8	2	6	9	0	7	2	11	17	12	15	12
total	64	42	53	26	28	31	32	21	29	14	29	31	39	66	35




Each of the inmate rule violations is identified as targeting one of five types of unwanted inmate behavior. Grouping the violations like this and considering the totals of each group provides us with an index used to determine what types of behavior can best be controlled by the kinds of sanctions we have available to us. This page shows the violations which are considered to be **destructive behavior**. This chart shows a reduction in this type of unwanted inmate behavior over this time period.

Index of Noncompliant Inmate Behavior

Grouping of Rule Violations Relating to Not Following Orders

"Noncompliant" acts	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
failure to give name	7	6	5	4	1	1	0	2	4	3	1	1	23	16	2
lying	19	15	12	9	9	12	17	29	25	21	19	20	17	24	10
coming out of cell	14	11	6	3	2	4	16	11	7	5	6	17	5	3	10
going into other cell	8	3	5	5	7	1	4	2	0	12	18	10	10	11	6
misuse of meds	17	18	17	16	23	43	42	46	26	49	22	23	8	20	14
fail to proceed	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
failure to move	41	36	48	44	52	49	57	46	57	56	44	61	59	63	64
hinder staff	11	20	18	28	13	31	42	22	42	58	52	42	45	37	47
visiting rules	4	1	2	2	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	2	4	3
failure to obey	84	54	22	36	20	40	51	65	44	50	34	32	22	33	32
fail to lock up	41	17	24	13	27	50	33	51	55	46	51	62	36	57	42
manipulate cell	7	6	11	8	12	17	10	11	23	21	22	47	20	19	15
total	254	187	170	168	169	250	273	287	284	287	269	316	247	288	246




Each of the inmate rule violations is identified as targeting one of five types of unwanted inmate behavior. Grouping the violations like this and considering the totals of each group provides us with an index used to determine what types of behavior can best be controlled by the kinds of sanctions we have available to us. This page shows the violations which are considered to be **noncompliant behavior**.

Index of Security Related Inmate Behavior

Grouping of Rule Violations Relating to Facility Security

"Security Related"	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
unauthorized area	18	6	7	4	6	6	4	7	3	3	8	9	7	6	6
interfere w/ count	0	1	3	0	1	4	9	0	4	0	1	0	0	26	15
plan to escape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
possess contraband	64	17	9	18	11	5	18	17	15	13	18	13	14	11	9
block / prop door	4	3	0	1	1	6	2	3	4	1	1	2	1	1	1
gang activity	0	2	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
attempt to escape	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	1	0	2	0	0	2
walkaway	11	8	7	7	7	5	9	15	9	11	5	0	1	1	0
escape	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
introduce contraband	8	6	2	3	1	4	3	2	1	9	5	5	1	1	6
total	107	43	29	34	28	32	52	46	39	38	38	31	24	46	39




Each of the inmate rule violations is identified as targeting one of five types of unwanted inmate behavior. Grouping the violations like this and considering the totals of each group provides us with an index used to determine what types of behavior can best be controlled by the kinds of sanctions we have available to us. This page shows the violations which are considered to be **related to the security of the facility**. This time period shows a significant reduction in this type of unwanted inmate behavior.

Index of Violent Inmate Behavior

Grouping of Rule Violations Relating to Violent or Aggressive Behavior


"Violent" behavior	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
sexual threats	6	1	3	3	4	3	5	0	0	2	1	2	0	5	2
sex proposal to staff	2	0	3	2	1	0	5	2	0	2	4	0	2	2	1
threaten inmate	24	25	7	16	7	11	24	18	17	25	21	18	27	18	6
fighting	156	124	117	127	161	185	116	135	149	169	196	155	159	164	211
assault inmate	48	56	51	51	42	62	61	43	36	65	75	66	51	50	62
assault w/ weapon	2	2	1	5	1	5	1	2	1	4	0	4	0	1	4
sexually assault	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
riot	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
possess weapon	7	2	4	5	7	13	3	7	5	3	7	2	1	0	1
assault staff	16	8	7	6	6	5	5	8	3	6	7	6	3	2	7
aslt staff w/ weapon	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	1	0
threatening staff	9	12	10	11	15	19	22	15	24	17	21	16	22	8	24
total	273	230	203	229	244	304	243	232	235	293	332	269	282	253	319



Each of the inmate rule violations is identified as targeting one of five types of unwanted inmate behavior. Grouping the violations like this and considering the totals of each group provides us with an index used to determine what types of behavior can best be controlled by the kinds of sanctions we have available to us. This page shows the violations which are considered to be **violent behavior**.





Comparison - Index of Inmate Behavior

Compilation of the Previous Five Charts



This chart shows all five of the inmate behavior index's and how they performed over the last 15 years. This is a compilation of the data used in the previous five charts. Each category showed significant variation over this period. Keep in mind that the overall number of inmates in the Sheriff's custody decreased during the last few years.


Category 2 and 3 Violations by Custody Level



TalkingPoint! Category 2 rule violations are less serious, while Category 3 are the most serious. The rate "per 100 inmates" is the best overall measure, since there are fewer higher custody inmates than lower custody. These figures were calculated using 2012 data. These stats are just from the Main Jail and include just the 10 most serious violations in each category. These charts demonstrate the validity of our classification system, as it shows the system is correctly identifying the inmates most likely to commit a rule violation.

Suicide Attempts or Gestures Compared with the Total Number of Suicide Precautions

KCCF 2000 through 2012



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
inmates making suicide attempts or gestures	53	72	67	43	46	70	71	55	79	52	66	52	55
total number of inmates placed on suicide precaution	265	356	370	397	302	555	464	449	403	303	253	266	275

Our highest level of suicide precaution places inmates in a cell under constant video surveillance. We track how inmates get placed on that level of observation. Either they have made a suicide attempt or gesture, or they have made a suicidal threat to a staff person, or they have been judged to be suicidal following an interview by one of our mental health professionals. The chart above depicts the total number of "S-3's" (the highest level of precaution) and of that number, the number that were declared S-3's because of an actual in-progress attempt to harm themselves, either by hanging, an overdose, cutting themselves, jumping from a height, drowning in a toilet, banging their head, or some other means. These data show that the jail deputies intervene in about one suicide attempt each week.

Inmate Behavior per Pod or Housing Unit

Minimum Custody Inmates Continue to be Best Behaved

Housing Unit	Number of Cat 2/3's	Index of Population
B3G Female Classification Pod	4	0.125
B2F BLP Program Pod - Med/Min Males	10	0.313
Intake	12	0.375
H2A Minimum Males	25	0.391
H2B Medium Males	25	0.391
Upper 4 Man Medium Males	22	0.458
D1A Male Disciplinary Segregation	14	0.467
D3A - Male Classification Pod	31	0.484
B2B Minimum Males	17	0.531
B3B Medium/Minimum Female Pod	36	0.563
B2D Reentry Pod	19	0.594
B3D Female Minimum & Program	19	0.594
H2C Med/Min Male Trustees	39	0.609
D3B Medium Males	41	0.641
B2C Medium Males	21	0.656
Middle 6 Man Medium Males	59	0.702
Middle 4 Man Medium Male	35	0.729
D1D Juvenile Male	6	0.750
B2G Male Mental Health Unit	30	0.769
D2A Medium Males	50	0.781
D2B Medium/Assault Male	57	0.891
D1A Male Administrative Segregation	18	1.000
D1F Med-Asslt/Close Male	19	1.188
Upper 6 Man Medium Males	104	1.238
D1C Med-Asslt/Close Male	16	2.000
B3H Female Segregation	42	2.625
D1B Med-Asslt / Close Male	37	2.643
B3F Female Med Asslt / Maximum	45	2.813




We have a number of separate housing units in the mail jail (their designations appear in the first column). We keep track of the number of inmates who must be moved out of each housing unit and placed in Disciplinary Segregation for a category 2 or 3 violation. We divide the number of rule violations by the number of inmates living in the housing unit to get an index for comparison purposes (this is the number in the third column). The lower the index, the better behaved the housing unit is. As you can tell from this table, the minimum (MINM) custody housing units are generally better behaved than the medium (MEDM) custody units, which are better behaved than the maximum (MAXM) custody units. The classification pods hold inmates who have not yet been assigned a custody level. The "Male Mental Health Unit" houses inmates with serious mental illness. Lower floor was left off since it was closed for most of 2012.

Number of Fights by Housing Unit

Many Fights Occur in Old Jail

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	total
Intake		1			1			1	1				4
B2B	1		1					1				1	4
B2C				1				1				1	3
B2D					1					1			2
B2F									1	1			2
B2G					1								1
B3B			2			1		2	1				6
B3D			1		1				1			1	4
B3F		1	1					1				1	4
B3H									1				1
D1A													0
D1B		1				2				1		1	5
D1C			1									1	2
D1D												1	1
D1F	1									1	1		3
D2A	1		1		1		1	2		1		2	9
D2B		2				2			2		1		7
D3A													0
D3B	2	1				1		1		1		1	7
New Side	5	6	7	1	5	6	1	9	7	6	2	10	65
Lower 1 man													0
Lower 4 man	1												1
Old MAX													0
Middle 1 man													0
Middle 4 man				2	1		1	2		1	1	1	9
Middle 6 man				2			1	2		1			6
Annex													0
H2A	1					1	1				1		4
H2B				1	2		1						4
H2C				1					1		2		4
Upper 4 man	1						1	2					4
Upper 6 man		2		1	1	1	4	3		1	1	4	18
Upper Rear East													0
Upper Rear West													0
Old Side	3	2	0	7	4	2	9	9	1	3	5	5	50
MJ total	8	8	7	8	9	8	10	18	8	9	7	15	115



TalkingPoint! Our Main Jail has an older linear style side and a newer direct supervision style side. Many of the fights occur in the old side, which we moved out of in December, 2012.

Jail Information Program Statistics

Corrections Officers Report Information on Possible Crimes

	Larceny	Car Theft	Drugs	Gang	Robbery	Murder	Asslt/CSC	Weapon	Kidnap	Total
ARNTZ, MARK	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
BENNETT, JULIE	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
BERNHARDT, TIM	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
BOWMAN, NICOLE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
BROWN, DONNA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CHENEY, JAMES	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CLARK, KEITH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CURTIS, MICHAEL	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CZARNOWSKI, SUE	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
DECKER, ROB	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
DZIACHAN, MATT	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ECKLUND, DAVID	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
EDWARDS, NIKILA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ENO, ADAM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FENNEMA, KODY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
FLYNN, JUSTIN	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
FREDERICK, MELISSA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
GOODSON, IYANA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
GOODSON, WILLIAM	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
GRIMMETT, WILLIAM	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
HAMILTON, COREY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
HINTZEN, JOHN	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
JOHNSON, DANA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
JUNEWICK, STEVE	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
KRAAI, TIM	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	5
KURDZIEL, JORDAN	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
LINSEA, JUSTIN	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MINNICK, NICOLE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ODWYER, PATRICK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
PONCE, GUADALUPE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
QUAKKELAAR, JUSTIN	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SORENSEN, KAREN	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
STONEHOUSE, RUSSELL	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
SULLIVAN, JEFFREY	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SULLIVAN, JENNIFER	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
THELEN, DEANNA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
VANDERMEER, RON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
WEBER, LYNDSIE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
WOCHHOLZ, TERESA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
										0
										0
										0
										0
										0
										0
										0
										0
										0
Total	4	0	23	1	5	15	4	4	0	56

Health Services Report

HEALTH UNIT VISITS	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Average
# Seen by Physician	552	520	686	834	964	834	596	484	500	485	622	692	7,769	647
# Seen by Psychiatrist	232	44	377	533	575	533	447	398	372	228	430	374	4,543	379
# Seen on Nurse Sick Call	3,807	3,284	3,111	3,210	2,961	3,210	2,683	4,322	3,441	3,309	3,567	3,290	40,195	3,350
# Refused Nursing Sick Call	45	35	56	62	27	62	90	17	28	47	27	29	525	44
# Code Responses	7	5	12	2	16	2	5	6	10	10	13	19	107	9
# of Physicals Done	300	354	342	348	389	376	247	336	157	294	364	368	3,875	323
# of Physicals Refused	284	198	253	265	259	234	228	238	229	334	299	271	3,092	258
# Released Prior to	1,361	1,530	1,773	1,579	1,474	1,489	1,515	1,692	1,530	1,403	1,290	1,277	17,913	1,493
# Annual Physicals Done	1	0	2	2	3	3	1	4	1	0	0	4	21	2
# patients admitted to infirmary				10	10	9	10	6	8	6	13	8	80	7
# of infirmary days				45	27	36	18	15	22	12	37	17	229	19
# of TB's Placed	97	98	100	94	110	99	140	142	128	92	117	93	1,310	109
# of Positive TB's	1	3	0	2	0	1	3	2	1	0	0	0	13	1
KITES														
# of Kites Received	1,849	1,576	1,640	1,869	2,422	1,869	1,622	3,310	3,171	2,375	5,008	3,776	30,487	2,541
# of Kites Completed	1,849	1,576	1,640	1,869	2,422	1,869	1,622	3,310	3,171	2,375	5,008	3,776	30,487	2,541
DENTAL VISITS														
Dentist Visits	126	118	138	125	151	123	115	144	116	142	153	101	1,552	129
Fillings	2	1	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	1	2	3	23	2
X-Rays	101	110	132	115	157	132	110	134	77	112	143	86	1,409	117
Extractions	58	48	38	45	63	39	51	61	33	43	46	47	572	48
Refusals	28	28	22	28	32	42	29	40	36	31	28	25	369	31
PHARMACY														
Weekly Total # on Meds	512	474	515	502	521	557	627	639	581	642	577	552	6,699	558
Daily Total # on Meds	412	372	429	447	384	374	444	434	423	472	451	315	4,957	413
% of Population on Meds	40.0%	42.0%	44.0%	42.0%	42.0%	46.6%	48.1%	47.0%	44.1%	49.0%	47.0%	45.0%		45%
Weekly Total # on Psych Meds	357	334	355	362	380	407	459	457	414	486	438	436	4,885	407
Daily Total # on Psych Meds	270	259	283	206	271	307	333	330	345	463	387	340	3,794	316
% on Psychotropic Meds	28.0%	30.0%	31.0%	30.2%	30.3%	34.0%	35.2%	33.0%	31.4%	37.0%	35.0%	35.0%		32%
# on HIV Meds	8	4	4	7	6	8	7	9	11	4	9	8	85	7
Formulary Rx's	1,845	1,582	1,727	1,995	1,677	1,742	2,053	2,245	1,898	2,031	1,795	1,594	22,184	1,849
Non-Formulary Rx's	36	69	117	121	93	116	167	303	753	774	664	634	3,847	321
LAB/XRAY														
# of Inmates With Labs Drawn	159	157	141	164	170	164	176	191	140	155	190	185	1,992	166
# of Lab Studies Done	333	372	300	332	414	332	392	485	414	399	670	418	4,861	405
# of OUILS Drawn	34	33	36	39	36	23	31	34	33	31	27	31	388	32
# of Inmates X-rayed	29	17	23	35	27	35	34	35	28	16	27	14	320	27
# of X-rays Done	33	21	24	39	29	39	41	42	29	17	30	16	360	30
MISCELLANEOUS														
# of HIV Positive in House	8	5	4	7	4	6	7	9	11	4	9	8	82	7
# of AIDS in House	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
# of New HIV/AIDS in House	0	1	0	4	0	3	1	2	5	2	3	1	22	2
# of Deaths	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
CHRONIC CARE CLINIC														
Cardiac & Hypertension	24	45	35	21	111	139	117	69	28	69	51	44	292	24
Pulmonary	13	38	21	34	21	97	98	49	22	48	48	54	110	9
Endocrine	5	19	16	20	35	64	41	19	5	7	4	8	94	8
Infectious Disease	0	0	2	2	5	6	14	0	5	3	1	1	11	1
Neurological- Seizure	4	10	7	10	32	21	28	17	6	4	10	9	57	5
Special Needs	5	1	10	21	18	32	61	22	2	21	2	6	52	4
Chronic Care Totals	51	113	91	108	222	359	359	176	68	152	116	122	616	51
SEG ROUNDS														
MD Segregation Visits	64	12	36	46	67	69	58	35	20	56	39	55	1,135	95
# of Nurse Seg Rounds	16	18	16	14	12	14	14	15	16	16	12	14	201	17
# of Inmates Seen	658	783	725	626	608	626	704	704	678	650	574	752	9,275	773

Health Services Report Totals for Prior Years

HEALTH UNIT VISITS	2005 totals	2006 totals	2007totals	2008 totals	2009 totals	2010 totals	2011 totals	2012 totals
# Seen by Physician	6670	6,289	5,881	8,688	8,293	8,636	8,288	7,769
# Seen by Psychiatrist	6250	4,682	6,352	6,927	3,455	2,263	3,164	4,543
# Seen on Nurse Sick Call	23479	18,244	18,642	21,276	50,247	48,139	40,847	40,195
# Refused Nursing Sick Call	439	940	855	602	708	681	747	525
# Code Responses	21	19	19	40	49	38	59	107
# of Physicals Done	1798	1,780	2,189	2,843	2,508	2,521	2,282	3,875
# of Physicals Refused		4,342	4,274	20,051	21,067	10,846	4,032	3,092
# Released Prior to								17,913
# Annual Physicals Done								21
# patients admitted to infirmary								80
# of infirmary days								229
# of TB's Placed	1,223	1,385	1,183	1,049	1,536	1,348	1,177	1,310
# of Positive TB's	13	8	10	19	23	24	7	13
KITES								
# of Kites Received	14862	17,159	23,297	21,915	26,758	18,022	14,885	30,487
# of Kites Completed	14691	17,159	23,297	21,915	26,758	18,022	14,885	30,487
DENTAL VISITS								
Dentist Visits	1170	1600	1,440	1,362	1,454	1,461	1,542	1,552
Fillings	22	913	1,086	1	5	16	11	23
X-Rays	667	475	560	1,444	1,454	1,335	1,346	1,409
Extractions	517	31	24	675	711	668	630	572
Refusals	126		132	180	143	153	282	369
PHARMACY								
Weekly Total # on Meds	12094	5,894	6,519	5,881	6,690	6,660	6,294	6,699
Daily Total # on Meds	4829	4,001	3,924	4,389	4,619	3,566	3,420	4,957
% of Population on Meds	33.0%	33.0%	25.2%	28.0%	28.0%	0	45%	45%
Weekly Total # on Psych Meds	3998	3086	3,164	2,171	3,623	3,792	4,335	4,885
Daily Total # on Psych Meds	2528	2,122	2,348	2,272	2,556	2,784	2,916	3,794
% on Psychotropic Meds	17.3%	17.3%	15.0%	14.5%	14.5%	0	0	32%
# on HIV Meds	37	45	44	40	53	49	82	85
Formulary Rx's	10796	22,937	24,484	26,619	30,539	21,059	19,828	22,184
Non-Formulary Rx's	1324	5,016	1,869	1,670	2,039	615	1,226	3,847
LAB/XRAY								
# of Inmates With Labs Drawn	2181	2217	2,594	2,349	2,279	2,067	1,592	1,992
# of Lab Studies Done	5339	4,297	4,663	4,428	6,392	4,810	3,289	4,861
# of OUILS Drawn	465	423	456	402	340	348	407	388
# of Inmates X-rayed	220	224	276	245	257	293	283	320
# of X-rays Done	239	250	318	317	297	293	298	360
MISCELLANEOUS								
# of HIV Positive in House	34	16	20	36	51	43	63	82
# of AIDS in House	31	22	18	34	47	42	49	4
# of New HIV/AIDS in House	0	0	3	3	9	3	7	22
# of Deaths	3	1	1	1	1	3	4	1
CHRONIC CARE CLINIC								
Cardiac & Hypertension			300	442	315	315	292	292
Pulmonary			192	326	157	157	110	110
Endocrine			104	134	80	80	94	94
Infectious Disease			12	4	24	24	11	11
Neurological- Seizure			45	101	44	44	57	57
Special Needs			21	62	22	22	52	52
Chronic Care Totals			672	1,069	646	646	616	616
SEG ROUNDS								
MD Segregation Visits			726	1,069	1,567	1,567	1,135	1,135
# of Nurse Seg Rounds			127	165	166	166	201	201
# of Inmates Seen			5,695	6,467	6,668	6,668	9,275	9,275

Responses to Medical Survey Completed in Intake by Nurse

Question	% of Yes	% of No
1. Do you have any current or past medical illness or health condition?	39.27%	60.73%
2. Do you have seizures or epilepsy?	4.45%	95.55%
3. Do you have asthma?	8.90%	91.10%
4. Do you have diabetes?	3.86%	96.14%
5. Do you have hepatitis?	2.44%	97.56%
6. Do you have HTN?	10.51%	89.49%
7. Do you have a heart condition?	3.74%	96.26%
8. Do you have an abnormal skin condition?	5.12%	94.88%
9. Do you have allergies?	20.62%	79.38%
10. Are you currently pregnant?	1.23%	98.77%
11. Have you given birth within the last 6 weeks?	0.19%	99.81%
12. Do you suffer from gynecological problems?	0.37%	99.63%
13. Have you recently had a head injury?	1.75%	98.25%
14. Do you have any infected wounds?	0.47%	99.53%
15. Do you have any dental problems?	12.38%	87.62%
16. Are you vomiting?	0.21%	99.79%
17. Have you been hospitalized due to a medical condition within the past month?	6.41%	93.59%
18. Are you currently receiving treatment for a medical condition?	14.89%	85.11%
19. Are you taking any medications?	26.07%	73.93%
20. Are you under a physician's care?	32.01%	67.99%
21. Is there anything else we need to know about your medical health history?	0.58%	99.42%
22. Do you want a jail physical?	74.94%	25.06%
23. Do you have any current or past history of an infectious disease?	1.54%	98.46%
24. Do you have any current or past history of TB?	1.14%	98.86%
25. Current symptoms of TB?	0.41%	99.59%
26. Do you have any current sexually transmitted illnesses?	1.08%	98.92%
27. Do you currently have crabs?	0.01%	99.99%
28. Do you have currently have scabies?	0.04%	99.96%
29. Is there anything else we need to know about your infectious disease history?	0.49%	99.51%
30. Do you have any drug withdrawal concerns?	3.04%	96.96%
31. Do you have any alcohol withdrawal concerns?	3.83%	96.17%
32. Is there anything else we need to know about your mental health history?	6.19%	93.81%
33. Are you taking any Psych medications?	4.46%	95.54%
34. Is the inmate oriented x 3	97.43%	2.57%
35. Normal gait?	96.60%	3.40%
36. Normal breathing?	97.70%	2.30%
37. Normal skin appearance?	92.02%	7.98%
38. Tremors?	0.64%	99.36%
39. Sweating?	0.20%	99.80%
40. Anxious?	1.50%	98.50%
41. Disheveled?	1.31%	98.69%
42. Lethargic?	0.47%	99.53%
43. Angry or aggressive behavior?	0.68%	99.32%
44. Presents as Cognitively challenged?	0.23%	99.77%
45. Presents as Confused and/or disoriented?	0.19%	99.81%
46. Crying?	1.15%	98.85%
47. Do you have health insurance?	18.26%	81.74%
48. Dental Screening Performed?	77.80%	22.20%
49. Referral to Nursing?	16.84%	83.16%
50. Referral to Physician?	17.67%	82.33%
51. Referral to Dentist?	1.73%	98.27%
52. Referral to Mental Health?	15.22%	84.78%
53: Vital Signs Taken?	30.45%	69.55%

"Yes" Responses to Medical Survey Compared with Prior Years

Question	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. Any current or past health condition?	22.98%	25.24%	26.64%	25.82%	24.61%	19.86%	26.13%	39.27%
2. Do you have seizures or epilepsy?	2.33%	1.97%	2.58%	2.18%	2.62%	2.44%	3.41%	4.45%
3. Do you have asthma?	7.57%	6.79%	7.93%	7.45%	7.56%	6.63%	8.20%	8.90%
4. Do you have diabetes?	2.61%	2.94%	3.45%	3.32%	3.41%	3.03%	3.35%	3.86%
5. Do you have hepatitis?	2.01%	1.98%	1.88%	1.66%	1.72%	1.52%	1.83%	2.44%
6. Do you have HTN?	6.32%	6.64%	7.61%	7.90%	9.04%	8.59%	9.65%	10.51%
7. Do you have a heart condition?	2.41%	2.16%	2.64%	2.22%	2.29%	1.89%	3.10%	3.74%
8. Do you have an abnormal skin condition?	2.13%	1.93%	2.88%	1.73%	1.45%	1.06%	3.67%	5.12%
9. Do you have allergies?	14.57%	15.23%	18.74%	16.80%	14.98%	14.03%	16.58%	20.62%
10. Are you currently pregnant?	1.12%	0.93%	1.05%	0.97%	1.14%	1.12%	1.30%	1.23%
11. Have you given birth within the last 6 weeks?	0.24%	20.00%	0.20%	0.17%	0.20%	0.21%	0.24%	0.19%
12. Do you suffer from gynecological problems?	0.47%	36.00%	0.41%	0.25%	0.20%	0.13%	0.32%	0.37%
13. Have you recently had a head injury?	1.52%	1.10%	1.35%	0.91%	0.97%	0.48%	1.59%	1.75%
14. Do you have any infected wounds?	0.62%	5.20%	0.83%	0.52%	0.53%	0.33%	0.40%	0.47%
15. Do you have any dental problems?	5.46%	5.95%	10.15%	13.42%	14.30%	7.05%	11.19%	12.38%
16. Are you vomiting?	0.24%	0.24%	0.29%	0.25%	0.20%	0.18%	0.21%	0.21%
17. Been hospitalized within the past month?	3.82%	3.47%	4.18%	4.04%	3.66%	9.36%	4.96%	6.41%
18. Are you currently receiving treatment?	13.53%	11.73%	13.53%	13.34%	13.19%	22.67%	14.89%	14.89%
19. Are you taking any medications?	24.24%	24.15%	26.81%	24.85%	25.60%	15.27%	24.22%	26.07%
20. Are you under a physician's care?	20.64%	20.59%	21.93%	22.93%	21.07%	0.60%	20.15%	32.01%
21. Is there anything else we need to know?	3.43%	2.55%	3.67%	1.39%	0.83%	12.47%	12.47%	0.58%
22. Do you want a jail physical?	18.56%	16.86%	20.40%	17.73%	17.39%	0.46%	30.21%	74.94%
23. Any current or history of infectious disease?	1.32%	0.94%	1.15%	0.89%	0.59%	0.50%	1.08%	1.54%
24. Do you have any current or past history of TB?	1.27%	1.27%	1.03%	0.92%	0.59%	0.02%	0.06%	1.14%
25. Current symptoms of TB?	0.07%	0.10%	0.04%	0.06%	0.04%	0.41%	0.41%	0.41%
26. Any current sexually transmitted illnesses?	0.81%	0.55%	0.55%	0.51%	0.47%	0.01%	0.72%	1.08%
27. Do you currently have crabs?	0.04%	0.01%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	0.02%	0.01%
28. Do you have currently have scabies?	0.03%	0.02%	0.02%	0.03%	0.04%	0.12%	0.06%	0.04%
29. Anything else about infectious disease history?	0.20%	0.20%	0.19%	0.15%	0.19%	2.57%	0.29%	0.49%
30. Do you have any drug withdrawal concerns?	2.61%	2.55%	3.12%	2.89%	3.00%	3.04%	3.04%	3.04%
31. Do you have any alcohol withdrawal concerns?	2.82%	2.60%	3.22%	3.58%	3.49%	3.83%	3.83%	3.83%
32. Anything else about your mental health history?	3.81%	3.95%	3.36%	3.40%	4.17%	6.19%	6.19%	6.19%
33. Are you taking any Psych medications?	8.70%	7.13%	7.16%	6.62%	7.16%	4.46%	4.46%	4.46%
34. Is the inmate oriented x 3	99.40%	99.51%	99.66%	99.59%	99.37%	99.58%	99.44%	97.43%
35. Normal gait?	98.44%	98.62%	98.61%	98.67%	98.21%	98.58%	98.51%	96.60%
36. Normal breathing?	99.47%	99.65%	99.63%	99.56%	99.47%	99.60%	99.50%	97.70%
37. Normal skin appearance?	96.55%	96.64%	96.61%	67.21%	96.86%	97.06%	95.99%	92.02%
38. Tremors?	0.77%	0.52%	0.55%	0.35%	0.75%	0.54%	0.64%	0.64%
39. Sweating?	0.08%	0.08%	0.09%	0.08%	0.26%	0.18%	0.17%	0.20%
40. Anxious?	0.86%	0.50%	0.69%	0.59%	0.99%	0.63%	1.21%	1.50%
41. Disheveled?	0.68%	0.44%	0.43%	0.52%	1.00%	0.72%	1.22%	1.31%
42. Lethargic?	0.40%	0.37%	0.38%	0.38%	0.43%	0.33%	0.41%	0.47%
43. Angry or aggressive behavior?	0.45%	0.35%	0.33%	0.32%	0.37%	0.28%	0.46%	0.68%
44. Presents as Cognitively challenged?	0.32%	0.22%	0.20%	0.14%	0.19%	0.19%	0.08%	0.23%
45. Presents as Confused and/or disoriented?	0.21%	0.13%	0.16%	0.13%	0.15%	0.15%	0.32%	0.19%
46. Crying?	0.99%	0.75%	0.56%	0.57%	0.80%	0.70%	0.20%	1.15%
47. Do you have health insurance?	21.84%	21.63%	19.22%	18.58%	12.98%		1.11%	18.26%
48. Dental Screening Performed?	46.86%	93.64%	93.98%	92.04%	92.16%	88.60%	77.80%	77.80%
49. Referral to Nursing?	4.51%	2.52%	2.88%	5.61%	7.16%	4.68%	4.55%	16.84%
50. Referral to Physician?	3.98%	1.41%	1.88%	1.44%	2.59%	1.00%	5.22%	17.67%
51. Referral to Dentist?	0.75%	0.53%	0.64%	0.62%	0.61%	0.42%	0.87%	1.73%
52. Referral to Mental Health?	2.10%	1.58%	1.36%	2.49%	2.38%	1.72%	7.05%	15.22%
53: Vital Signs Taken?	26.05%	25.07%	27.10%	26.86%	28.35%	25.82%	25.84%	30.45%

Answers to Mental Health Screening Completed in Intake

Question	% Yes	% No
Are you currently taking medication that has not been prescribed to you?	0.9%	99.1%
Are you currently drunk or high?	7.7%	92.3%
Do you use illegal drugs?	13.8%	86.2%
Do you have any drug withdrawal concerns?	2.2%	97.8%
Do you currently use alcohol?	33.8%	66.2%
Do you have any alcohol withdrawal concerns?	3.5%	96.5%
Have you ever had alcohol or drug withdrawal?	4.2%	95.8%
Have you ever received treatment for substance abuse?	11.3%	88.7%
Is there anything else we need to know about your substance abuse history?	1.3%	98.7%
Are you taking medications for mental health issues?	12.5%	87.5%
Did you bring any medications to jail with you?	1.2%	98.8%
Have you ever been treated for ADHD?	9.2%	90.8%
Have you ever been treated for developmental disorders?	0.2%	99.8%
Have you ever been treated for an eating disorder?	0.1%	99.9%
Have you ever been in special education?	1.2%	98.8%
Have you ever been diagnosed as bipolar?	10.6%	89.4%
Have you every been diagnosed withschizophrenia?	3.5%	96.5%
Have you ever had learning disability?	1.0%	99.0%
Does anyone in your family have or had a mental illness?	2.2%	97.8%
Have you ever been case managed for mental illness?	4.3%	95.7%
Have you ever been treated in a psychiatric hospital?	8.4%	91.6%
Have you ever been self-abusive or engaged in self mutilation behavior?	2.7%	97.3%
Are you feeling homicidal now?	0.3%	99.7%
Have you ever been physically abused?	1.6%	98.4%
Have you ever had suicidal attempts or thoughts, now or ever?	7.3%	92.7%
Have you having suicial thoughts now or thinking of harming yourself now?	1.4%	98.6%
Do you have any current or past losses that you are feeling grief and depression over?	1.1%	98.9%
Has anyone in your family ever taken their own life?	0.5%	99.5%
Have you experienced a life threatening or abusive event that still bothers you today?	0.6%	99.4%
Are you currently having feelings of hopelessness or helplessness?	1.9%	98.1%
Do you have a support system in the community that you can depend on?	78.6%	21.4%
Have you ever experienced auditory,visual, ofactory, or tactial hallucinations?	0.7%	99.3%
Has inmate ever had or experienced delusional thinking?	0.1%	99.9%

🗨️ TalkingPoint! Every offender brought to jail is evaluated by a medical professional while still in Intake. The answers to the mental health survey questions they are asked are summarized above. The data in this table is based on 26,000 offenders who came to jail during 2012.

"YES" Answers to Mental Health Screening in Recent Years

Question	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Have you been hospitalized due to a medical condition within the last year?	13.0%	11.9%	9.8%	9.4%	9.2%	9.4%	13.0%	
Are you currently taking medication that has not been prescribed to you?	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	0.9%
Are you currently drunk or high?	16.1%	14.4%	13.6%	14.5%	14.6%	4.2%	16.1%	7.7%
Do you use illegal drugs?	15.4%	16.1%	14.7%	13.6%	12.0%	12.5%	15.4%	13.8%
Do you currently use alcohol?	47.7%	50.7%	45.6%	37.2%	35.7%	36.9%	47.7%	33.8%
Have you ever had alcohol or drug withdrawal?	4.8%	4.2%	4.3%	3.4%	3.5%	3.5%	4.8%	3.5%
Received treatment for substance abuse?	29.5%	28.8%	25.9%	26.6%	23.9%	22.8%	29.5%	11.3%
Have you ever been treated for mental illness?	19.3%	19.3%	17.9%	19.5%	20.6%	20.4%	19.3%	
Have you ever been treated for ADHD?	6.3%	4.4%	4.2%	3.8%	4.3%	3.6%	6.3%	
Been treated for developmental disorders?	0.8%	0.8%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.8%	
Have you ever been treated for an eating disorder?	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	
Have you ever been in special education?	8.1%	10.5%	8.4%	6.6%	6.3%	10.1%	8.1%	
Have you been treated for a closed head injury?	4.7%	4.3%	4.1%	3.6%	4.8%	4.7%	4.7%	
Have you ever had a seizure and/or been treated for a seizure disorder?	4.3%	4.3%	3.8%	2.7%	3.2%	3.5%	4.3%	
Are you on any medications?	22.7%	21.2%	20.6%	18.7%	19.6%	17.8%	22.7%	
Taking the medications for mental health issues?	12.5%	12.1%	12.8%	13.7%	13.9%	15.5%	12.5%	12.5%
Does anyone in your family have a mental illness?	2.6%	3.2%	2.7%	3.0%	3.6%	4.6%	2.6%	2.2%
Case managed for mental illness?	2.1%	2.1%	2.3%	2.5%	2.4%	2.3%	2.1%	4.3%
Treated in a psychiatric hospital?	8.6%	8.2%	8.8%	8.8%	9.3%	9.3%	8.6%	8.4%
Have you ever been self-abusive or engaged in self mutilation behavior or feeling homicidal now?	2.0%	2.0%	2.3%	1.8%	1.9%	2.2%	2.0%	2.7%
Homicidal thoughts or attempts?	1.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%
Have you ever been physically abused?							1.9%	1.6%
Suicidal attempts or thoughts, now or ever?	9.9%	8.7%	9.1%	9.7%	9.4%	8.2%	9.9%	7.3%
Have you having suicidal thoughts now?	1.9%	0.2%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	1.2%	1.4%
Do you have any current or past losses that you are feeling grief and depression over?	4.0%	2.3%	2.8%	2.3%	2.9%	1.0%	4.1%	1.1%
Has anyone in your family taken their own life?	4.9%	1.8%	1.6%	1.4%	2.1%	2.3%	3.8%	0.5%
Have you ever experienced a life threatening or abusive event that still bothers you today?	2.1%	1.8%	1.1%	1.1%	1.3%	0.4%	3.8%	0.6%
Are you currently having feelings of hopelessness or helplessness?	9.9%	4.6%	2.9%	3.1%	2.6%	0.7%	7.3%	1.9%
Do you have a support system in the community that you can depend on?	92.8%	94.7%	94.2%	94.2%	95.4%	90.3%	92.8%	78.6%
Have you ever been or are you currently on parole or probation?	50.5%	54.3%	45.8%	44.7%	41.5%	46.0%	50.5%	
Are you currently on Disability or Social Security?	6.1%	6.1%	5.9%	7.2%	7.2%	7.5%	6.1%	
Is the inmate orientated to person, place and time?	98.1%	97.8%	94.7%	96.0%	97.9%	93.7%	98.1%	
Have you ever experienced auditory, visual, olfactory, or tactical hallucinations?	3.0%	2.9%	2.6%	2.5%	2.4%	2.4%	3.0%	0.7%
Has inmate ever had or experienced delusional	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%


🗨️ TalkingPoint! Every offender brought to jail is evaluated by a medical professional while still in Intake. The percentage of the mental health survey questions that were answered YES appear on this table. The data in this table is based on the approximately 26,000 offenders each year who came to jail during these years. The form changed which is why there are now some blanks.

Inmate Needs Reported in Classification Interview

Offenders Come to Jail with a Number of Needs

Question:	% Yes	% No
1. HEALTH ISSUES: Does this inmate report having any unresolved medical issues which would require you to contact medical, or does this inmate have any health problems that would affect their housing?	21.9%	78.1%
2. MENTAL STABILITY: Does this inmate have mental health problems, including suicidal ideation, that would affect their housing?	15.5%	84.5%
3. ALCOHOL ABUSE: Does the inmate admit to having an alcohol problem, or does the inmate appear to have an alcohol problem in your judgement?	19.5%	80.5%
4. DRUG ABUSE: Does the inmate admit to a drug problem, or does the inmate appear to have a problem with drugs in your judgement?	20.7%	79.3%
5. PAST TREATMENT: Does the inmate indicate past treatment for their drug or alcohol problem? If so, record where, when, and if they are interested in continuing treatment.	30.8%	69.2%
6. EDUCATIONAL HISTORY: Does the inmate have a GED or a high school diploma? If not, is the inmate interested in continuing their education in the main jail or honor camp?	67.5%	32.5%
7. VOCATIONAL SKILLS: Does the inmate have a marketable skill or trade that is used to support himself and his family? (Answer "Yes" if this is true, and "No" if is the inmate has no skills, limited training, or little or no employment history.)	17.3%	82.7%
8. LITERACY: Can this inmate read and write the English language?	99.5%	0.5%


TalkingPoint! These numbers represent the percentage of inmates in population who admit these needs to a classification officer. This information is based on the self report of the inmates during their classification interviews this year. It is not based on a clinical assessment and the report is not verified independently. This reflects an inmate's "admission" only. Not all inmates honestly report their needs at the classification interview. All inmates who stay in jail longer than 3 days are given a classification interview.



Inmate Needs Reported in Prior Years

Offenders' Needs Remain Steady

Question:	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. HEALTH ISSUES: Does this inmate report having any unresolved medical issues which would require you to contact medical, or does this inmate have any health problems that would affect their housing?	33.0%	31.9%	27.3%	22.9%	20.2%	21.9%
2. MENTAL STABILITY: Does this inmate have mental health problems, including suicidal ideation, that would affect their housing?	15.1%	16.4%	16.3%	15.8%	14.2%	15.5%
3. ALCOHOL ABUSE: Does the inmate admit to having an alcohol problem, or does the inmate appear to have an alcohol problem in your judgement?	16.9%	19.8%	21.6%	18.7%	17.1%	19.5%
4. DRUG ABUSE: Does the inmate admit to a drug problem, or does the inmate appear to have a problem with drugs in your judgement?	24.2%	23.3%	21.9%	20.9%	18.6%	20.7%
5. PAST TREATMENT: Does the inmate indicate past treatment for their drug or alcohol problem? If so, record where, when, and if they are interested in continuing treatment.	36.4%	35.9%	35.1%	31.3%	30.5%	30.8%
6. EDUCATIONAL HISTORY: Does the inmate have a GED or a high school diploma? If not, is the inmate interested in continuing their education in the main jail or honor camp?	63.5%	63.4%	63.2%	63.7%	64.3%	67.5%
7. VOCATIONAL SKILLS: Does the inmate have a marketable skill or trade that is used to support himself and his family? (Answer "Yes" if this is true, and "No" if the inmate has no skills, limited training, or little or no employment history.)	18.4%	12.4%	9.0%	5.2%	7.8%	17.3%
8. LITERACY: Can this inmate read and write the English language?	95.4%	94.8%	96.7%	96.7%	96.4%	99.5%



Index

Average Daily Populations and Facility Totals	
Annual Average Daily Population for all Inmates in KCCF Custody	17
Average Daily Population for MJ, HC, CRC and Total for Inmates in KCCF Custody for the Last 9 years	18
Average Daily Population of the Main Jail, Honor Camp and Community Reentry Center	14
Average Population vs. Peak Population at the Main Jail	19
Booking and Population Statistics	13
History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1835 to 1984)	20
History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1985 to 1998)	21
History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1999 to Present)	22
Number of Bookings and MJ Average / Peak Population	16
Number of Inmates Brought to Jail per Year	15
Average Length of Stay	
Average Amount of Time Inmates Stay in Jail, Shown with Inmates Grouped by Release Type	30
Average Length of Stay Depicted as Jail Bed Days	31
Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 3)	3
Arresting Agencies	
Number of Inmates Brought to Jail by Arresting Agency	26
Number of Inmates Brought to Jail in the Last 10 Years by the Top Five Arresting Agencies	27
Billing, Collections, Fees	
Agency Billing Information	35
Processing Fees for the Last Four Years	36
Room and Board Collection Efforts	37
County Jail Reimbursement Program	38
Booking or Admissions – Yearly or Monthly Totals and Averages	
Booking and Population Statistics	15
Number of Bookings and MJ Average / Peak Population	16
Number of Inmates Brought to Jail per Year	15
Charge Related Information	
Charges by Jail Bed Days	41
Types of Criminal Charges Over Time	40
Types of Criminal Charges that Bring Inmates to Jail and Types that Keep Them in Jail	39
Classification	
Amount of Work Done by Our Nine Classification Officer	29
Category 1, 2 and 3 Violations by Custody Level	52
Inmate Classification System	28
Inmate Needs Reported in Classification Interview	63
Inmate Needs in Prior Years	64
Employee Profiles	
Correctional Facility Employee Profile	12
Health or Medical Related Information	
Answers to Mental Health Screening Completed in Intake	61
Health Services Report	57
Health Services Report Totals for the Last Six Years	58
Inmate Needs Reported in Classification Interview	63
Responses to Medical Survey Completed in Intake by Nurses	59
“Yes” Answers to Mental Health Screening from 2005 to 2009	62
“Yes” Responses to Medical Survey Compared with Prior Years	60
Inmate Needs in Prior Years	64
History of Jail Capacity	
History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1835 to 1984)	20
History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1985 to 1998)	21

History of Jail Capacity in Kent County (1999 to Present)	22
Incidents and Rule Violations	
Category 1, 2 and 3 Violations by Custody Level	52
Comparison – Index of Inmate Behavior.....	51
Index of Antisocial Inmate Behavior.....	46
Index of Destructive Inmate Behavior.....	47
Index of Noncompliant Inmate Behavior	48
Index of Security Related Inmate Behavior.....	49
Index of Violent Inmate Behavior	50
Inmate Behavior per Pod or Housing Unit	54
Jail Information Program Statistics	56
Number of Fights by Housing Unit	55
Number of Serious Rule Violations Indexed to Population.....	44
Suicide Attempts or Gestures Compared with the Total Number of Suicide Precautions.....	53
Total Counts of Incidents and Inmate Rule Violations.....	42
Total Number of Category 1, 2 and 3 Rule Violations in the Last Eight Years.....	45
Inmate Profiles and General Demographic Information	
Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 1)	1
Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 2)	2
Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 3)	3
Interesting Random Facts about KCCF Inmates	11
Profile of Female Inmates at Time of Booking (part 1).....	6
Profile of Female Inmates at Time of Booking (part 2).....	7
Profile of Male Inmates at Time of Booking (part 1)	4
Profile of Male Inmates at Time of Booking (part 2)	5
Profile of the Stock Population Inmates (part 1)	8
Profile of the Stock Population Inmates (part 2)	9
Profile of the Stock Population Inmates (part 3)	10
Jail Bed Days	
Average Length of Stay Depicted as Jail Bed Days	31
Charges by Jail Bed Days	41
Number of Serious Rule Violations Indexed to Population.....	44
Racial	
Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 1)	1
Interesting Random Facts about KCCF Inmates	11
Profile of Female Inmates at Time of Booking (part 1).....	6
Profile of Male Inmates at Time of Booking (part 1)	4
Profile of the Stock Population Inmates (part 1)	8
Recidivism	
Inmate Profile at Time of Booking (part 2)	2
Interesting Random Facts About KCCF Inmates	11
Profile of Female Inmates at Time of Booking (part 2).....	7
Profile of Male Inmates at Time of Booking (part 2)	5
Profile of the Stock Population Inmates (part 3)	10
Recidivism Rate of KCCF Inmates since 2006	24
Total Number of Times an Inmate has been in KCCF	23
More Recidivism Information Including Likelihood of Recidivating	27
Release Related Information	
Average Amount of Time Inmates Stay in Jail, Grouped by Release Type	30
Number of Days Inmates Stay in Jail Before Being Released.....	33
Number of Hours Inmates Spend in Jail Before Being Released on Bond.....	34
Reasons Why Inmates are Released and the Percentages of Inmates Released by Type of Release	32
Suicide Attempt Related Information	
Suicide Attempts or Gestures Compared with Total Number of Suicide Precautions.....	53
Total Counts of Incidents and Inmate Rule Violations.....	42